# 1 Title: Observational signatures of transuranic fission fragments in stars

Authors: Ian U. Roederer<sup>1,2\*</sup>, Nicole Vassh<sup>3</sup>, Erika M. Holmbeck<sup>4,5,2</sup>, Matthew R.
 Mumpower<sup>6,7,2</sup>, Rebecca Surman<sup>8,2</sup>, John J. Cowan<sup>9</sup>, Timothy C. Beers<sup>8,2</sup>, Rana Ezzeddine<sup>10,2</sup>,
 Anna Frebel<sup>11,2</sup>, Terese T. Hansen<sup>12</sup>, Vinicius M. Placco<sup>13</sup>, Charli M. Sakari<sup>14</sup>

## 5 Affiliations:

- <sup>6</sup> <sup>1</sup>Department of Astronomy, University of Michigan; Ann Arbor, MI, USA.
- <sup>2</sup>Joint Institute for Nuclear Astrophysics Chemical Evolution of the Elements (JINA-CEE);
   USA.
- <sup>9</sup> <sup>3</sup>TRIUMF; Vancouver, BC, Canada.
- <sup>4</sup>Carnegie Observatories; Pasadena, CA, USA.
- 11 <sup>5</sup>Hubble Fellow.
- <sup>6</sup>Theoretical Division, Los Alamos National Laboratory; Los Alamos, NM, USA.
- <sup>7</sup>Center for Theoretical Astrophysics, Los Alamos National Laboratory; Los Alamos, NM,
   USA.
- <sup>8</sup>Department of Physics and Astronomy, University of Notre Dame; Notre Dame, IN, USA.
- <sup>9</sup>Homer L. Dodge Department of Physics and Astronomy, University of Oklahoma; Norman,
   OK, USA.
- <sup>10</sup>Department of Astronomy, University of Florida, Bryant Space Science Center;
- 19 Gainesville, FL, USA.
- 20 <sup>11</sup>Department of Physics and Kavli Institute for Astrophysics and Space Research,
- 21 Massachusetts Institute of Technology; Cambridge, MA, USA.
- <sup>12</sup>Department of Astronomy, Stockholm University, AlbaNova University Center, SE-106 91
   Stockholm, Sweden.
- 24 <sup>13</sup>NSF's NOIRLab; Tucson, AZ, USA.
- <sup>14</sup>Department of Physics and Astronomy, San Francisco State University; San Francisco, CA,
   USA.
- 27 \*Corresponding author. Email: <u>iur@umich.edu</u>
- 28
- 29
- 30
- 50
- 31
- 32
- 33
- 34
- 35

# 36 Abstract:

37	The heaviest elements observed in the universe are produced by the rapid neutron-capture
38	process (r-process). Its termination among transuranic nuclei is poorly understood because these
39	elements are inaccessible to experiments, forcing nuclear models to extrapolate from limited
40	constraints. We show that the elements Ru, Rh, Pd, and Ag (atomic numbers $44 \le Z \le 47$ , mass
41	numbers $99 \le 4 \le 110$ ) exhibit a correlation with abundances of heavier elements ( $63 \le Z \le 78$ ,
42	$A>150$ ) that is not shared by their immediate neighbors ( $34 \le Z \le 42$ and $48 \le Z \le 62$ ) for stars that are
43	enhanced in r-process elements. Coproduction via fission fragments of transuranic nuclei
44	provides the most compelling explanation for this behavior. We conclude that this signature
45	provides the first evidence that neutron-rich fissioning nuclei with mass numbers >260 are
46	produced in r-process events, such as neutron-star mergers.
47	
48	
49	One-Sentence Summary:
50	A meta-analysis of stellar chemical abundances uncovers trends indicative of fission of the

52

51

heaviest elements.

54 Understanding the origin of the elements is one of the major challenges of modern astrophysics. 55 Stars and stellar remnants synthesize the heaviest elements listed on the periodic table through 56 the rapid neutron-capture process, or r-process. The 2017 merger of a pair of neutron stars 57 detected in gravitational waves and electromagnetic radiation (1) established these types of 58 events as sites where the r-process occurs. The composition of material ejected constrains the 59 conditions present during the merger (2) and properties of the progenitor neutron stars, including their equation of state (3). Freshly produced lanthanide elements ( $57 \le Z \le 71$ ) (4, 5) and Sr (Z =60 61 38) (6) were likely present in the ejecta of that 2017 event, but otherwise its detailed chemical 62 composition could not be inferred from either the light curve or spectra of its afterglow. 63 64 In contrast, the detailed compositions of a small number of ancient stars in the Milky Way can be 65 derived from hundreds of absorption features of more than 40 r-process elements detectable in their spectra. The abundance patterns of heavy r-process elements found in these stars are 66 67 similar, which is often referred to as the "universality" of the r-process. The chemical inventory 68 of each star links directly to the ejecta of an individual r-process event, such as a neutron star 69 merger or a rare type of supernova that occurred in the early Universe and enriched the gas from 70 which these stars formed (7).

71

We assemble a sample of 42 stars in the Milky Way from data presented in 35 studies in the literature (8). We select stars whose heavy elements were formed via the r-process, without contamination from other processes, such as the slow neutron-capture process (s-process). We follow common practice in adopting the [Fe/H] ratio (defined as  $log_{10}(N_{Fe}) - log_{10}(N_{Fe})_{\odot}$ , where *N* is the number density and the  $_{\odot}$  subscript indicates the Solar value) as a measure of the overall metal enrichment of a star, and the [Eu/Fe] ratio (defined as  $log_{10}(N_{Eu}/N_{Fe}) - log_{10}(N_{Eu}/N_{Fe})_{\odot}$ ) as

<ol> <li>79</li> <li>80</li> <li>81</li> <li>82</li> <li>83</li> </ol>	sample spans $-3.57 \leq [Fe/H] \leq -0.99$ and $-0.52 \leq [Eu/Fe] \leq +1.69$ (Tab. S1–S4). Fig. 1 illustrates the heavy-element abundance patterns in these stars (see also Fig. S1 and S2). Stars with higher [Eu/Fe] ratios, which are marked with larger symbols, generally exhibit abundances of some elements (including Ru, Rh, Pd, Ag, Gd, Tb, Dy, and Yb) that are slightly enhanced
<ul><li>80</li><li>81</li><li>82</li><li>83</li></ul>	illustrates the heavy-element abundance patterns in these stars (see also Fig. S1 and S2). Stars with higher [Eu/Fe] ratios, which are marked with larger symbols, generally exhibit abundances of some elements (including Ru, Rh, Pd, Ag, Gd, Tb, Dy, and Yb) that are slightly enhanced
81 82 83	with higher [Eu/Fe] ratios, which are marked with larger symbols, generally exhibit abundances of some elements (including Ru, Rh, Pd, Ag, Gd, Tb, Dy, and Yb) that are slightly enhanced
82 83	of some elements (including Ru, Rh, Pd, Ag, Gd, Tb, Dy, and Yb) that are slightly enhanced
83	
	relative to stars with lower [Eu/Fe] ratios. This excess is not expected in the paradigm of r-
84	process universality, and we now aim to explain it.
85	
86	We empirically calculate a baseline abundance pattern (Tab. S5 and S6) using the 13 stars (30%
87	of the sample) with the lowest levels of r-process enhancement, $[Eu/Fe] \le +0.3$ . Fig. 2 shows the
00	abundance excess which is the difference between the individual chemical abundance
88	abundance excess, which is the difference between the individual chemical abundance
88 89	measurements in each star and the baseline abundance for that element. Three sets of elements
89 90	measurements in each star and the baseline abundance for that element. Three sets of elements behave similarly in our sample: Se, Sr, Y, Zr, Nb, and Mo ( $34 \le Z \le 42$ ); Cd, Sn, and Te ( $48 \le Z$ )
88 89 90 91	measurements in each star and the baseline abundance for that element. Three sets of elements behave similarly in our sample: Se, Sr, Y, Zr, Nb, and Mo ( $34 \le Z \le 42$ ); Cd, Sn, and Te ( $48 \le Z \le 52$ ); and Ba, La, Ce, Pr, Nd, and Sm ( $56 \le Z \le 62$ ). They are grouped together in panels A, C,
88 89 90 91 92	measurements in each star and the baseline abundance for that element. Three sets of elements behave similarly in our sample: Se, Sr, Y, Zr, Nb, and Mo ( $34 \le Z \le 42$ ); Cd, Sn, and Te ( $48 \le Z \le 52$ ); and Ba, La, Ce, Pr, Nd, and Sm ( $56 \le Z \le 62$ ). They are grouped together in panels A, C, and D, respectively. Ratios among these elements exhibit no significant correlation with [Eu/Fe],

94

Two sets of elements exhibit significant positive correlations with [Eu/Fe] (8): Ru, Rh, Pd, and Ag ( $44 \le Z \le 47$ ); and Gd, Tb, Dy, Ho, Er, Tm, Yb, Hf, Os, and Pt ( $64 \le Z \le 78$ ; plus Eu, Z =63). They are grouped together in panels B and E, respectively, of Fig. 2. These correlations signify a newly identified extension of r-process universality that links many of the heavier rprocess elements with a few lighter ones. Previous work has shown that the range of elements that participate in universality is bounded by two important deviations (supplementary text). One is characterized by large (> 1.5 dex) differences in the overall amounts of lighter r-process

elements (atomic numbers  $34 \le Z \le 56$ ) relative to the heavier ( $Z \ge 56$ ) ones. The other is characterized by small (< 0.7 dex) variations in the abundances of the actinide elements Th (Z =90) and U (Z = 92) relative to other heavy r-process elements. Our findings also represent deviations from standard two-component models of r-process nucleosynthesis (9, 10), where one component (a weak, or limited, r-process) is mainly responsible for the lighter r-process elements. and another (a main r-process) is mainly responsible for the heavier r-process elements.

108

109 We propose that these element groups ( $44 \le Z \le 47$  and  $63 \le Z \le 78$ ) are coproduced as 110 transuranic (Z > 92) fission fragments in the r-process, establishing a natural connection between 111 them. Models predict that transuranic elements are produced in r-process events when the ejecta 112 contain very neutron-rich material (11-13). In these cases, the synthesis of heavy elements 113 terminates when transuranic nuclei undergo fission and repopulate the r-process chain at lower 114 masses (14). Fission deposition effectively "washes away" variations in the initial conditions 115 (15), leading to robust relative abundances among the fission products, such as Ag and Eu. (16)116 recognized this behavior as a potential signature of fission, through a comparison of theoretical 117 calculations with Pd, Ag, and Eu abundances in a limited sample of stars. This behavior can be 118 seen in Fig. 3, which uses neutron star merger dynamical ejecta (8) to demonstrate the impact of 119 fissioning on the log  $\varepsilon$ (Ag/Eu) ratios. Models that do not include a fission component (panel A) 120 are sensitive to differences in initial conditions, such as progenitor mass, and they predict 121 varying  $\log \epsilon(Ag/Eu)$  ratios in the ejecta. Additionally, neutron star merger dynamical ejecta is 122 accompanied by ejecta from the post-merger accretion disk, which likely contains few or no 123 fissioning nuclei, so additional ejecta from the disk could lead to even larger variations from one 124 event to another. Models that include a fission component (panel B) predict that the mass-125 weighted log  $\varepsilon$ (Ag/Eu) ratios are approximately constant, and they match the observed stellar

ratios. Similar behavior is expected for other candidate sites. For example, the neutron richness
predicted for the ejecta of magneto-rotationally driven supernovae is known to vary based on the
magnetic field of the progenitor (17). In any site capable of hosting fission, fission deposition
acts to stabilize the abundance ratios of coproduced elements against such astrophysical
variations.
Figure 1 illustrates the feasibility of this proposed scenario. The green bands in Fig. 1 mark the
baseline abundance pattern, which we calculate from the stars that we assume contain minimal

134 contributions from fission deposition. We add contributions from transuranic fission fragments,

135 enhanced by factors of 1, 2, and 4 relative to the baseline pattern (8). These enhancement factors

136 span the range of abundance excesses found for elements with  $44 \le Z \le 47$  and  $63 \le Z \le 78$  in the

137 stars with the highest levels of [Eu/Fe], which are marked by the largest symbols in Fig. 1.

138 Fission fragment deposition may contribute up to  $\approx 75\%$  of the production of the elements with

139 the largest observed excesses, including Pd, Ag, Gd, and Yb (8).

140

141 Substantial contributions from fission fragments of transuranic nuclei provide the most

142 compelling explanation for the correlations between elements with with  $44 \le Z \le 47$  and  $63 \le Z \le$ 

143 78. No other known nucleosynthesis process, including the weak or main s-process, intermediate

144 neutron-capture process, or weak r-process, can reproduce the observed behavior (supplementary

145 text). This behavior is also not related to the actinide boost phenomenon that is observed in some

146 r-process-enhanced stars (supplementary text, Fig. S3). It cautions against using [Ba/Eu] ratios to

147 discern the presence of small amounts of s-process material in stars where the r-process

148 contribution is dominant (supplementary text). The correlations are more closely associated with

149 [Eu/Fe] than log  $\varepsilon$ (Eu) as a measure of the strength of the r-process (supplementary text), which

150 suggests a link between r-process production of fissioning transuranic elements and the local151 environment.

152

153 Many of the radioactive, neutron-rich nuclei populated during the r-process are inaccessible to 154 laboratory experiments, so theoretical models are necessary to estimate their properties. These 155 models must be extrapolated from more stable isotopes located near the valley of stability. This 156 extrapolation leads to large uncertainties in key quantities, such as masses, halflives, neutron-157 capture cross sections, and decay channels, which propagate into uncertainties in the abundance 158 vields (18). Our dataset provides new constraints on these models. For example, many of the 159 fissioning nuclei influencing r-process abundances are predicted to have asymmetric 160 distributions with a lighter peak and a heavier peak (19). The lighter fragments are likely 161 contributing to the Ru, Rh, Pd, and Ag abundances in these stars. Atomic mass numbers  $99 \le A \le$ 162 110 represent the stable isotopes of these elements that can be synthesized in the r-process, via  $\beta$ 163 decays of the neutron-rich nuclei created directly through fission deposition. The heavier 164 fragments likely contribute to the Eu and heavier elements (A > 150) in these stars. These mass 165 ranges imply that nuclei with A > 260 (i.e., 110 + 150) are produced in the r-process and 166 contribute substantially to the fission fragments. If the r-process synthesizes nuclei as heavy as A 167  $\sim 280$ , around the predicted nuclear shell closure at 184 neutrons (13, 20), the heavier-mass 168 fragments would include nuclei with A > 170 and encompass the lanthanide elements (19, 21, 169 22). This prediction is consistent with our findings. Much larger samples of stars with a wide 170 range of levels of r-process enhancement-and additional observations of Cd, Sn, Te, Hf, Os, 171 and Pt in particular (supplementary text)—are needed to further constrain these model 172 predictions.

174	The observational link between elements with $44 \le Z \le 47$ and $63 \le Z \le 78$ offers the strongest
175	evidence yet for r-process production of nuclei with $A > 260$ . Our findings boost the prospects
176	for searches for the $\gamma$ -rays associated with fission fragments (23) and efforts to assess how
177	fissioning species impact the kilonova light curves associated with neutron star mergers $(24-26)$ .
178	They can motivate new theoretical efforts to determine whether superheavy elements are
179	produced in the r-process (27). Astronomical observations of fission fragments can help
180	prioritize the most critical measurements of the properties of short-lived neutron-rich nuclei with
181	the next generation of radioactive-isotope beam facilities (28). This new line of inquiry can also
182	complement and focus studies of the fission debris from the Trinity test (29) and other tests
183	conducted on nuclear explosion debris (30, 31), as well as studies of fission products extracted
184	from the natural reactor located at Oklo, Gabon (32). The fission products found in r-process-
185	enhanced stars may even probe much heavier parent nuclei than ones accessible to these
186	terrestrial sources. Our study highlights the importance of collecting new astronomical data in
187	pursuit of this goal.
188 189	



191 Fig. 1: Comparison between the observed abundance patterns and fission model 192 **predictions.** The baseline pattern (green line) is defined as the mean  $\pm 1$  or 2 times the standard 193 error (shaded green bands) of the abundance ratios in the stars with  $[Eu/Fe] \le +0.3$ . The orange 194 curves illustrate fission fragments added to this baseline pattern; e.g., +2x enhancement means 2 195 parts fission fragments and 1 part baseline pattern. The size of each point is proportional to the [Eu/Fe] ratio in the star, as shown in Fig. 1. Panels A and C illustrate the log ε abundance ratios, 196 197 defined as  $\log_{10}(N_X/N_{Zr})$  or  $\log_{10}(N_X/N_{Ba})$ , where  $N_X$ ,  $N_{Zr}$ , and  $N_{Ba}$  represent the number densities 198 of elements X (for X = Se, Sr, ..., Te) and Zr or X (for X = La, Ce, ..., Pt) and Ba, respectively. 199 Panels B and D illustrate the differences between each star or fission model and the baseline 200 pattern.

Submitted Manuscript: Confidential Template revised February 2021



202 Fig. 2: Chemical abundance ratios for elements that exhibit no significant correlations with 203 [Eu/Fe] (panels A, C, and D) and those that do (panels B and E). The panels are ordered by 204 increasing atomic number, Z. Each point represents one ratio in one star, and the errorbars 205 represent  $\pm 1\sigma$  uncertainties. The log  $\varepsilon(X/Zr)$  and log  $\varepsilon(X/Ba)$  ratios are normalized to the 206 baseline patterns,  $\log \varepsilon (X/Zr)_{base}$  and  $\log \varepsilon (X/Ba)_{base}$ . The abundances of the lighter r-process 207 elements  $(34 \le Z \le 52)$  are only partially correlated with the abundances of the heavier r-process 208 elements ( $56 \le Z \le 78$ ), so each group is normalized separately to Zr and Ba, respectively. Solid 209 lines mark weighted least-squares linear fits, and the equations for these fits are printed in each 210 panel. Dotted lines mark differences of zero. Flat trends (green lines) indicate that an element is 211 coproduced with Zr or Ba, and significant correlations (orange lines) indicate that an element is

- 212 coproduced with Eu. The three values printed in the lower right corner of each panel list the *p*-
- 213 value for the Pearson correlation coefficient, the  $r^2$  coefficient of determination, and the number
- 214 of stars, *n* (8).
- 215
- 216



Fig. 3: The log  $\varepsilon(Ag/Eu)$  abundance ratio predicted when fission deposition contributes to Ag (panel B) and when it does not (panel A). Results from hydrodynamic simulations (8) for neutron star mergers with various progenitor masses are shown as colored dots for individual ejecta and black dots for the mass-weighted abundance ratio. Individual ejecta are colored based on their neutron richness,  $Y_e$ , with lower  $Y_e$  ejecta able to reach fissioning nuclei. When fission deposition contributes to Ag, the predicted mass-weighted log  $\varepsilon(Ag/Eu)$  ratios are independent of

- the progenitor masses and match the observed ratios (black circles, whose size is proportional to
- the [Eu/Fe] ratio in each star).

### 226 **References and notes**

- 228 (1) B. P. Abbott, R. Abbott, T. D. Abbott, F. Acernese, K. Ackley, C. Adams, T. Adams, P.
- 229 Addesso, R. X. Adhikari, V. B. Adya, C. Affeldt, M. Afrough, B. Agarwal, M. Agathos, K.
- Agatsuma, N. Aggarwal, O. D. Aguiar, L. Aiello, A. Ain, P. Ajith, B. Allen, G. Allen, A.
- 231 Allocca, P. A. Altin, A. Amato, A. Ananyeva, S. B. Anderson, W. G. Anderson, S. V. Angelova,
- 232 S. Antier, S. Appert, K. Arai, M. C. Araya, J. S. Areeda, N. Arnaud, K. G. Arun, S. Ascenzi, G.
- 233 Ashton, M. Ast, S. M. Aston, P. Astone, D. V. Atallah, P. Aufmuth, C. Aulbert, K. AultONeal,
- 234 C. Austin, A. Avila-Alvarez, S. Babak, P. Bacon, M. K. M. Bader, S. Bae, M. Bailes, P. T.
- 235 Baker, F. Baldaccini, G. Ballardin, S. W. Ballmer, S. Banagiri, J. C. Barayoga, S. E. Barclay, B.
- 236 C. Barish, D. Barker, K. Barkett, F. Barone, B. Barr, L. Barsotti, M. Barsuglia, D. Barta, S. D.
- 237 Barthelmy, J. Bartlett, I. Bartos, R. Bassiri, A. Basti, J. C. Batch, M. Bawaj, J. C. Bayley, M.
- 238 Bazzan, B. Bécsy, C. Beer, M. Bejger, I. Belahcene, A. S. Bell, B. K. Berger, G. Bergmann, S.
- 239 Bernuzzi, J. J. Bero, C. P. L. Berry, D. Bersanetti, A. Bertolini, J. Betzwieser, S. Bhagwat, R.
- 240 Bhandare, I. A. Bilenko, G. Billingsley, C. R. Billman, J. Birch, R. Birney, O. Birnholtz, S.
- 241 Biscans, S. Biscoveanu, A. Bisht, M. Bitossi, C. Biwer, M. A. Bizouard, J. K. Blackburn, J.
- 242 Blackman, C. D. Blair, D. G. Blair, R. M. Blair, S. Bloemen, O. Bock, N. Bode, M. Boer, G.
- 243 Bogaert, A. Bohe, F. Bondu, E. Bonilla, R. Bonnand, B. A. Boom, R. Bork, V. Boschi, S. Bose,
- 244 K. Bossie, Y. Bouffanais, A. Bozzi, C. Bradaschia, P. R. Brady, M. Branchesi, J. E. Brau, T.
- 245 Briant, A. Brillet, M. Brinkmann, V. Brisson, P. Brockill, J. E. Broida, A. F. Brooks, D. A.
- 246 Brown, D. D. Brown, S. Brunett, C. C. Buchanan, A. Buikema, T. Bulik, H. J. Bulten, A.
- 247 Buonanno, D. Buskulic, C. Buy, R. L. Byer, M. Cabero, L. Cadonati, G. Cagnoli, C. Cahillane, J.
- 248 Calderón Bustillo, T. A. Callister, E. Calloni, J. B. Camp, M. Canepa, P. Canizares, K. C.

- 249 Cannon, H. Cao, J. Cao, C. D. Capano, E. Capocasa, F. Carbognani, S. Caride, M. F. Carney, G.
- 250 Carullo, J. Casanueva Diaz, C. Casentini, S. Caudill, M. Cavaglià, F. Cavalier, R. Cavalieri, G.
- 251 Cella, C. B. Cepeda, P. Cerdá-Durán, G. Cerretani, E. Cesarini, S. J. Chamberlin, M. Chan, S.
- 252 Chao, P. Charlton, E. Chase, E. Chassande-Mottin, D. Chatterjee, K. Chatziioannou, B. D.
- 253 Cheeseboro, H. Y. Chen, X. Chen, Y. Chen, H. -P. Cheng, H. Chia, A. Chincarini, A. Chiummo,
- 254 T. Chmiel, H. S. Cho, M. Cho, J. H. Chow, N. Christensen, Q. Chu, A. J. K. Chua, S. Chua, A.
- 255 K. W. Chung, S. Chung, G. Ciani, R. Ciolfi, C. E. Cirelli, A. Cirone, F. Clara, J. A. Clark, P.
- 256 Clearwater, F. Cleva, C. Cocchieri, E. Coccia, P. -F. Cohadon, D. Cohen, A. Colla, C. G.
- 257 Collette, L. R. Cominsky, M. Constancio, L. Conti, S. J. Cooper, P. Corban, T. R. Corbitt, I.
- 258 Cordero-Carrión, K. R. Corley, N. Cornish, A. Corsi, S. Cortese, C. A. Costa, M. W. Coughlin,
- 259 S. B. Coughlin, J. -P. Coulon, S. T. Countryman, P. Couvares, P. B. Covas, E. E. Cowan, D. M.
- 260 Coward, M. J. Cowart, D. C. Coyne, R. Coyne, J. D. E. Creighton, T. D. Creighton, J. Cripe, S.
- 261 G. Crowder, T. J. Cullen, A. Cumming, L. Cunningham, E. Cuoco, T. Dal Canton, G. Dálya, S.
- L. Danilishin, S. D'Antonio, K. Danzmann, A. Dasgupta, C. F. Da Silva Costa, V. Dattilo, I.
- 263 Dave, M. Davier, D. Davis, E. J. Daw, B. Day, S. De, D. DeBra, J. Degallaix, M. De Laurentis,
- 264 S. Deléglise, W. Del Pozzo, N. Demos, T. Denker, T. Dent, R. De Pietri, V. Dergachev, R. De
- 265 Rosa, R. T. DeRosa, C. De Rossi, R. DeSalvo, O. de Varona, J. Devenson, S. Dhurandhar, M. C.
- 266 Díaz, T. Dietrich, L. Di Fiore, M. Di Giovanni, T. Di Girolamo, A. Di Lieto, S. Di Pace, I. Di
- 267 Palma, F. Di Renzo, Z. Doctor, V. Dolique, F. Donovan, K. L. Dooley, S. Doravari, I.
- 268 Dorrington, R. Douglas, M. Dovale Álvarez, T. P. Downes, M. Drago, C. Dreissigacker, J. C.
- 269 Driggers, Z. Du, M. Ducrot, R. Dudi, P. Dupej, S. E. Dwyer, T. B. Edo, M. C. Edwards, A.
- 270 Effler, H. -B. Eggenstein, P. Ehrens, J. Eichholz, S. S. Eikenberry, R. A. Eisenstein, R. C.
- 271 Essick, D. Estevez, Z. B. Etienne, T. Etzel, M. Evans, T. M. Evans, M. Factourovich, V. Fafone,
- H. Fair, S. Fairhurst, X. Fan, S. Farinon, B. Farr, W. M. Farr, E. J. Fauchon-Jones, M. Favata, M.

- 273 Fays, C. Fee, H. Fehrmann, J. Feicht, M. M. Fejer, A. Fernandez-Galiana, I. Ferrante, E. C.
- 274 Ferreira, F. Ferrini, F. Fidecaro, D. Finstad, I. Fiori, D. Fiorucci, M. Fishbach, R. P. Fisher, M.
- 275 Fitz-Axen, R. Flaminio, M. Fletcher, H. Fong, J. A. Font, P. W. F. Forsyth, S. S. Forsyth, J. -D.
- 276 Fournier, S. Frasca, F. Frasconi, Z. Frei, A. Freise, R. Frey, V. Frey, E. M. Fries, P. Fritschel, V.
- 277 V. Frolov, P. Fulda, M. Fyffe, H. Gabbard, B. U. Gadre, S. M. Gaebel, J. R. Gair, L.
- 278 Gammaitoni, M. R. Ganija, S. G. Gaonkar, C. Garcia-Quiros, F. Garufi, B. Gateley, S. Gaudio,
- 279 G. Gaur, V. Gayathri, N. Gehrels, G. Gemme, E. Genin, A. Gennai, D. George, J. George, L.
- 280 Gergely, V. Germain, S. Ghonge, Abhirup Ghosh, Archisman Ghosh, S. Ghosh, J. A. Giaime, K.
- 281 D. Giardina, A. Giazotto, K. Gill, L. Glover, E. Goetz, R. Goetz, S. Gomes, B. Goncharov, G.
- 282 González, J. M. Gonzalez Castro, A. Gopakumar, M. L. Gorodetsky, S. E. Gossan, M. Gosselin,
- 283 R. Gouaty, A. Grado, C. Graef, M. Granata, A. Grant, S. Gras, C. Gray, G. Greco, A. C. Green,
- E. M. Gretarsson, P. Groot, H. Grote, S. Grunewald, P. Gruning, G. M. Guidi, X. Guo, A. Gupta,
- 285 M. K. Gupta, K. E. Gushwa, E. K. Gustafson, R. Gustafson, O. Halim, B. R. Hall, E. D. Hall, E.
- 286 Z. Hamilton, G. Hammond, M. Haney, M. M. Hanke, J. Hanks, C. Hanna, M. D. Hannam, O. A.
- 287 Hannuksela, J. Hanson, T. Hardwick, J. Harms, G. M. Harry, I. W. Harry, M. J. Hart, C. -J.
- 288 Haster, K. Haughian, J. Healy, A. Heidmann, M. C. Heintze, H. Heitmann, P. Hello, G.
- 289 Hemming, M. Hendry, I. S. Heng, J. Hennig, A. W. Heptonstall, M. Heurs, S. Hild, T. Hinderer,
- W. C. G. Ho, D. Hoak, D. Hofman, K. Holt, D. E. Holz, P. Hopkins, C. Horst, J. Hough, E. A.
- Houston, E. J. Howell, A. Hreibi, Y. M. Hu, E. A. Huerta, D. Huet, B. Hughey, S. Husa, S. H.
- Huttner, T. Huynh-Dinh, N. Indik, R. Inta, G. Intini, H. N. Isa, J. -M. Isac, M. Isi, B. R. Iyer, K.
- Izumi, T. Jacqmin, K. Jani, P. Jaranowski, S. Jawahar, F. Jiménez-Forteza, W. W. Johnson, N.
- 294 K. Johnson-McDaniel, D. I. Jones, R. Jones, R. J. G. Jonker, L. Ju, J. Junker, C. V. Kalaghatgi,
- 295 V. Kalogera, B. Kamai, S. Kandhasamy, G. Kang, J. B. Kanner, S. J. Kapadia, S. Karki, K. S.
- 296 Karvinen, M. Kasprzack, W. Kastaun, M. Katolik, E. Katsavounidis, W. Katzman, S. Kaufer, K.

- 297 Kawabe, F. Kéfélian, D. Keitel, A. J. Kemball, R. Kennedy, C. Kent, J. S. Key, F. Y. Khalili, I.
- 298 Khan, S. Khan, Z. Khan, E. A. Khazanov, N. Kijbunchoo, Chunglee Kim, J. C. Kim, K. Kim, W.
- 299 Kim, W. S. Kim, Y. -M. Kim, S. J. Kimbrell, E. J. King, P. J. King, M. Kinley-Hanlon, R.
- 300 Kirchhoff, J. S. Kissel, L. Kleybolte, S. Klimenko, T. D. Knowles, P. Koch, S. M. Koehlenbeck,
- 301 S. Koley, V. Kondrashov, A. Kontos, M. Korobko, W. Z. Korth, I. Kowalska, D. B. Kozak, C.
- 302 Krämer, V. Kringel, B. Krishnan, A. Królak, G. Kuehn, P. Kumar, R. Kumar, S. Kumar, L. Kuo,
- 303 A. Kutynia, S. Kwang, B. D. Lackey, K. H. Lai, M. Landry, R. N. Lang, J. Lange, B. Lantz, R.
- 304 K. Lanza, S. L. Larson, A. Lartaux-Vollard, P. D. Lasky, M. Laxen, A. Lazzarini, C. Lazzaro, P.
- 305 Leaci, S. Leavey, C. H. Lee, H. K. Lee, H. M. Lee, H. W. Lee, K. Lee, J. Lehmann, A. Lenon, E.
- 306 Leon, M. Leonardi, N. Leroy, N. Letendre, Y. Levin, T. G. F. Li, S. D. Linker, T. B. Littenberg,
- 307 J. Liu, X. Liu, R. K. L. Lo, N. A. Lockerbie, L. T. London, J. E. Lord, M. Lorenzini, V. Loriette,
- 308 M. Lormand, G. Losurdo, J. D. Lough, C. O. Lousto, G. Lovelace, H. Lück, D. Lumaca, A. P.
- 309 Lundgren, R. Lynch, Y. Ma, R. Macas, S. Macfoy, B. Machenschalk, M. MacInnis, D. M.
- 310 Macleod, I. Magaña Hernandez, F. Magaña-Sandoval, L. Magaña Zertuche, R. M. Magee, E.
- 311 Majorana, I. Maksimovic, N. Man, V. Mandic, V. Mangano, G. L. Mansell, M. Manske, M.
- 312 Mantovani, F. Marchesoni, F. Marion, S. Márka, Z. Márka, C. Markakis, A. S. Markosyan, A.
- 313 Markowitz, E. Maros, A. Marquina, P. Marsh, F. Martelli, L. Martellini, I. W. Martin, R. M.
- 314 Martin, D. V. Martynov, J. N. Marx, K. Mason, E. Massera, A. Masserot, T. J. Massinger, M.
- 315 Masso-Reid, S. Mastrogiovanni, A. Matas, F. Matichard, L. Matone, N. Mavalvala, N.
- 316 Mazumder, R. McCarthy, D. E. McClelland, S. McCormick, L. McCuller, S. C. McGuire, G.
- 317 McIntyre, J. McIver, D. J. McManus, L. McNeill, T. McRae, S. T. McWilliams, D. Meacher, G.
- 318 D. Meadors, M. Mehmet, J. Meidam, E. Mejuto-Villa, A. Melatos, G. Mendell, R. A. Mercer, E.
- 319 L. Merilh, M. Merzougui, S. Meshkov, C. Messenger, C. Messick, R. Metzdorff, P. M. Meyers,
- H. Miao, C. Michel, H. Middleton, E. E. Mikhailov, L. Milano, A. L. Miller, B. B. Miller, J.

- 321 Miller, M. Millhouse, M. C. Milovich-Goff, O. Minazzoli, Y. Minenkov, J. Ming, C. Mishra, S.
- 322 Mitra, V. P. Mitrofanov, G. Mitselmakher, R. Mittleman, D. Moffa, A. Moggi, K. Mogushi, M.
- 323 Mohan, S. R. P. Mohapatra, I. Molina, M. Montani, C. J. Moore, D. Moraru, G. Moreno, S.
- 324 Morisaki, S. R. Morriss, B. Mours, C. M. Mow-Lowry, G. Mueller, A. W. Muir, Arunava
- 325 Mukherjee, D. Mukherjee, S. Mukherjee, N. Mukund, A. Mullavey, J. Munch, E. A. Muñiz, M.
- 326 Muratore, P. G. Murray, A. Nagar, K. Napier, I. Nardecchia, L. Naticchioni, R. K. Nayak, J.
- 327 Neilson, G. Nelemans, T. J. N. Nelson, M. Nery, A. Neunzert, L. Nevin, J. M. Newport, G.
- 328 Newton, K. K. Y. Ng, P. Nguyen, T. T. Nguyen, D. Nichols, A. B. Nielsen, S. Nissanke, A. Nitz,
- 329 A. Noack, F. Nocera, D. Nolting, C. North, L. K. Nuttall, J. Oberling, G. D. O'Dea, G. H. Ogin,
- J. J. Oh, S. H. Oh, F. Ohme, M. A. Okada, M. Oliver, P. Oppermann, Richard J. Oram, B.
- 331 O'Reilly, R. Ormiston, L. F. Ortega, R. O'Shaughnessy, S. Ossokine, D. J. Ottaway, H.
- 332 Overmier, B. J. Owen, A. E. Pace, J. Page, M. A. Page, A. Pai, S. A. Pai, J. R. Palamos, O.
- 333 Palashov, C. Palomba, A. Pal-Singh, Howard Pan, Huang-Wei Pan, B. Pang, P. T. H. Pang, C.
- 334 Pankow, F. Pannarale, B. C. Pant, F. Paoletti, A. Paoli, M. A. Papa, A. Parida, W. Parker, D.
- 335 Pascucci, A. Pasqualetti, R. Passaquieti, D. Passuello, M. Patil, B. Patricelli, B. L. Pearlstone, M.
- 336 Pedraza, R. Pedurand, L. Pekowsky, A. Pele, S. Penn, C. J. Perez, A. Perreca, L. M. Perri, H. P.
- 337 Pfeiffer, M. Phelps, O. J. Piccinni, M. Pichot, F. Piergiovanni, V. Pierro, G. Pillant, L. Pinard, I.
- 338 M. Pinto, M. Pirello, M. Pitkin, M. Poe, R. Poggiani, P. Popolizio, E. K. Porter, A. Post, J.
- 339 Powell, J. Prasad, J. W. W. Pratt, G. Pratten, V. Predoi, T. Prestegard, M. Prijatelj, M. Principe,
- 340 S. Privitera, R. Prix, G. A. Prodi, L. G. Prokhorov, O. Puncken, M. Punturo, P. Puppo, M. Pürrer,
- H. Qi, V. Quetschke, E. A. Quintero, R. Quitzow-James, F. J. Raab, D. S. Rabeling, H. Radkins,
- 342 P. Raffai, S. Raja, C. Rajan, B. Rajbhandari, M. Rakhmanov, K. E. Ramirez, A. Ramos-Buades,
- 343 P. Rapagnani, V. Raymond, M. Razzano, J. Read, T. Regimbau, L. Rei, S. Reid, D. H. Reitze,
- 344 W. Ren, S. D. Reyes, F. Ricci, P. M. Ricker, S. Rieger, K. Riles, M. Rizzo, N. A. Robertson, R.

- 345 Robie, F. Robinet, A. Rocchi, L. Rolland, J. G. Rollins, V. J. Roma, J. D. Romano, R. Romano,
- 346 C. L. Romel, J. H. Romie, D. Rosińska, M. P. Ross, S. Rowan, A. Rüdiger, P. Ruggi, G. Rutins,
- 347 K. Ryan, S. Sachdev, T. Sadecki, L. Sadeghian, M. Sakellariadou, L. Salconi, M. Saleem, F.
- 348 Salemi, A. Samajdar, L. Sammut, L. M. Sampson, E. J. Sanchez, L. E. Sanchez, N. Sanchis-
- 349 Gual, V. Sandberg, J. R. Sanders, B. Sassolas, B. S. Sathyaprakash, P. R. Saulson, O. Sauter, R.
- 350 L. Savage, A. Sawadsky, P. Schale, M. Scheel, J. Scheuer, J. Schmidt, P. Schmidt, R. Schnabel,
- 351 R. M. S. Schofield, A. Schönbeck, E. Schreiber, D. Schuette, B. W. Schulte, B. F. Schutz, S. G.
- 352 Schwalbe, J. Scott, S. M. Scott, E. Seidel, D. Sellers, A. S. Sengupta, D. Sentenac, V. Sequino,
- 353 A. Sergeev, D. A. Shaddock, T. J. Shaffer, A. A. Shah, M. S. Shahriar, M. B. Shaner, L. Shao, B.
- 354 Shapiro, P. Shawhan, A. Sheperd, D. H. Shoemaker, D. M. Shoemaker, K. Siellez, X. Siemens,
- 355 M. Sieniawska, D. Sigg, A. D. Silva, L. P. Singer, A. Singh, A. Singhal, A. M. Sintes, B. J. J.
- 356 Slagmolen, B. Smith, J. R. Smith, R. J. E. Smith, S. Somala, E. J. Son, J. A. Sonnenberg, B.
- 357 Sorazu, F. Sorrentino, T. Souradeep, A. P. Spencer, A. K. Srivastava, K. Staats, A. Staley, M.
- 358 Steinke, J. Steinlechner, S. Steinlechner, D. Steinmeyer, S. P. Stevenson, R. Stone, D. J. Stops,
- 359 K. A. Strain, G. Stratta, S. E. Strigin, A. Strunk, R. Sturani, A. L. Stuver, T. Z. Summerscales, L.
- 360 Sun, S. Sunil, J. Suresh, P. J. Sutton, B. L. Swinkels, M. J. Szczepańczyk, M. Tacca, S. C. Tait,
- 361 C. Talbot, D. Talukder, D. B. Tanner, M. Tápai, A. Taracchini, J. D. Tasson, J. A. Taylor, R.
- 362 Taylor, S. V. Tewari, T. Theeg, F. Thies, E. G. Thomas, M. Thomas, P. Thomas, K. A. Thorne,
- 363 K. S. Thorne, E. Thrane, S. Tiwari, V. Tiwari, K. V. Tokmakov, K. Toland, M. Tonelli, Z.
- 364 Tornasi, A. Torres-Forné, C. I. Torrie, D. Töyrä, F. Travasso, G. Traylor, J. Trinastic, M. C.
- 365 Tringali, L. Trozzo, K. W. Tsang, M. Tse, R. Tso, L. Tsukada, D. Tsuna, D. Tuyenbayev, K.
- 366 Ueno, D. Ugolini, C. S. Unnikrishnan, A. L. Urban, S. A. Usman, H. Vahlbruch, G. Vajente, G.
- 367 Valdes, M. Vallisneri, N. van Bakel, M. van Beuzekom, J. F. J. van den Brand, C. Van Den
- Broeck, D. C. Vander-Hyde, L. van der Schaaf, J. V. van Heijningen, A. A. van Veggel, M.

- 369 Vardaro, V. Varma, S. Vass, M. Vasúth, A. Vecchio, G. Vedovato, J. Veitch, P. J. Veitch, K.
- 370 Venkateswara, G. Venugopalan, D. Verkindt, F. Vetrano, A. Viceré, A. D. Viets, S. Vinciguerra,
- 371 D. J. Vine, J. -Y. Vinet, S. Vitale, T. Vo, H. Vocca, C. Vorvick, S. P. Vyatchanin, A. R. Wade,
- L. E. Wade, M. Wade, R. Walet, M. Walker, L. Wallace, S. Walsh, G. Wang, H. Wang, J. Z.
- 373 Wang, W. H. Wang, Y. F. Wang, R. L. Ward, J. Warner, M. Was, J. Watchi, B. Weaver, L. -W.
- Wei, M. Weinert, A. J. Weinstein, R. Weiss, L. Wen, E. K. Wessel, P. Weßels, J. Westerweck,
- T. Westphal, K. Wette, J. T. Whelan, S. E. Whitcomb, B. F. Whiting, C. Whittle, D. Wilken, D.
- 376 Williams, R. D. Williams, A. R. Williamson, J. L. Willis, B. Willke, M. H. Wimmer, W.
- 377 Winkler, C. C. Wipf, H. Wittel, G. Woan, J. Woehler, J. Wofford, K. W. K. Wong, J. Worden, J.
- 378 L. Wright, D. S. Wu, D. M. Wysocki, S. Xiao, H. Yamamoto, C. C. Yancey, L. Yang, M. J. Yap,
- 379 M. Yazback, Hang Yu, Haocun Yu, M. Yvert, A. ZadroŻny, M. Zanolin, T. Zelenova, J. -P.
- 380 Zendri, M. Zevin, L. Zhang, M. Zhang, T. Zhang, Y. -H. Zhang, C. Zhao, M. Zhou, Z. Zhou, S.
- J. Zhu, X. J. Zhu, A. B. Zimmerman, M. E. Zucker, J. Zweizig, LIGO Scientific Collaboration,
- 382 Virgo Collaboration. GW170817: Observation of Gravitational Waves from a Binary Neutron
- 383 Star Inspiral. *Physical Review Letters* **119**, 161101 (2017).
- 384
- 385 (2) M. M. Kasliwal, E. Nakar, L. P. Singer, D. L. Kaplan, D. O. Cook, A. Van Sistine, R. M.
- 386 Lau, C. Fremling, O. Gottlieb, J. E. Jencson, S. M. Adams, U. Feindt, K. Hotokezaka, S. Ghosh,
- 387 D. A. Perley, P. -C. Yu, T. Piran, J. R. Allison, G. C. Anupama, A. Balasubramanian, K. W.
- 388 Bannister, J. Bally, J. Barnes, S. Barway, E. Bellm, V. Bhalerao, D. Bhattacharya, N.
- Blagorodnova, J. S. Bloom, P. R. Brady, C. Cannella, D. Chatterjee, S. B. Cenko, B. E. Cobb, C.
- 390 Copperwheat, A. Corsi, K. De, D. Dobie, S. W. K. Emery, P. A. Evans, O. D. Fox, D. A. Frail,
- 391 C. Frohmaier, A. Goobar, G. Hallinan, F. Harrison, G. Helou, T. Hinderer, A. Y. Q. Ho, A.
- 392 Horesh, W.-H. Ip, R. Itoh, D. Kasen, H. Kim, N. P. M. Kuin, T. Kupfer, C. Lynch, K. Madsen,

- 393 P. A. Mazzali, A. A. Miller, K. Mooley, T. Murphy, C. -C. Ngeow, D. Nichols, S. Nissanke, P.
- 394 Nugent, E. O. Ofek, H. Qi, R. M. Quimby, S. Rosswog, F. Rusu, E. M. Sadler, P. Schmidt, J.
- 395 Sollerman, I. Steele, A. R. Williamson, Y. Xu, L. Yan, Y. Yatsu, C. Zhang, W. Zhao.
- 396 Illuminating gravitational waves: A concordant picture of photons from a neutron star merger.
- 397 Science **358**, 1559-1565 (2017).

398

- 399 (3) M. W. Coughlin, T. Dietrich, Z. Doctor, D. Kasen, S. Coughlin, A. Jerkstrand, G. Leloudas,
- 400 O. McBrien, B. D. Metzger, R. O'Shaughnessy, S. J. Smartt. Constraints on the neutron star
- 401 equation of state from AT2017gfo using radiative transfer simulations. *Monthly Notices of the*
- 402 *Royal Astronomical Society* **480**, 3871-3878 (2018).

403

- 404 (4) M. R. Drout, A. L. Piro, B. J. Shappee, C. D. Kilpatrick, J. D. Simon, C. Contreras, D. A.
- 405 Coulter, R. J. Foley, M. R. Siebert, N. Morrell, K. Boutsia, F. Di Mille, T. W. -S. Holoien, D.
- 406 Kasen, J. A. Kollmeier, B. F. Madore, A. J. Monson, A. Murguia-Berthier, Y. -C. Pan, J. X.
- 407 Prochaska, E. Ramirez-Ruiz, A. Rest, C. Adams, K. Alatalo, E. Bañados, J. Baughman, T. C.
- 408 Beers, R. A. Bernstein, T. Bitsakis, A. Campillay, T. T. Hansen, C. R. Higgs, A. P. Ji, G.
- 409 Maravelias, J. L. Marshall, C. Moni Bidin, J. L. Prieto, K. C. Rasmussen, C. Rojas-Bravo, A. L.
- 410 Strom, N. Ulloa, J. Vargas-González, Z. Wan, D. D. Whitten. Light curves of the neutron star
- 411 merger GW170817/SSS17a: Implications for r-process nucleosynthesis. *Science* **358**, 1570-1574
- 412 (2017).

413

- 414 (5) D. Kasen, B. Metzger, J. Barnes, E. Quataert, E. Ramirez-Ruiz. Origin of the heavy elements
- 415 in binary neutron-star mergers from a gravitational-wave event. *Nature* **551**, 80-84 (2017).

- 417 (6) D. Watson, C. J. Hansen, J. Selsing, A. Koch, D. B. Malesani, A. C. Andersen, J. P. U.
- 418 Fynbo, A. Arcones, A. Bauswein, S. Covino, A. Grado, K. E. Heintz, L. Hunt, C. Kouveliotou,
- 419 G. Leloudas, A. J. Levan, P. Mazzali, E. Pian. Identification of strontium in the merger of two
- 420 neutron stars. *Nature* **574**, 497-500 (2019).
- 421
- 422 (7) J. J. Cowan, C. Sneden, J. E. Lawler, A. Aprahamian, M. Wiescher, K. Langanke, G.
- 423 Martínez-Pinedo, F.-K. Thielemann. Origin of the heaviest elements: The rapid neutron-capture

424 process. *Reviews of Modern Physics* **93**, 015002 (2021).

425

426 (8) Materials and methods are available as supplementary materials at the Science website.

427

- 428 (9) F. Montes, T. C. Beers, J. Cowan, T. Elliot, K. Farouqi, R. Gallino, M. Heil, K.-L. Kratz, B.
- 429 Pfeiffer, M. Pignatari, H. Schatz. Nucleosynthesis in the early Galaxy. *The Astrophysical Journal*430 671, 1685-1695 (2007).

431

- 432 (10) Y.-Z. Qian, G. J. Wasserburg. Abundances of Sr, Y, and Zr in metal-poor stars and
- 433 implications for chemical evolution in the early Galaxy. *The Astrophysical Journal* 687, 272-286
  434 (2008).
- 435
- 436 (11) S. Wanajo, Y. Sekiguchi, N. Nishimura, K. Kiuchi, K. Kyutoku, M. Shibata. Production of
- 437 all the r-process nuclides in the dynamical ejecta of neutron star mergers. *The Astrophysical*
- 438 *Journal* **789**, L39 (2014).

- 440 (12) M. Eichler, A. Arcones, A. Kelic, O. Korobkin, K. Langanke, T. Marketin, G. Martinez-
- 441 Pinedo, I. Panov, T. Rauscher, S. Rosswog, C. Winteler, N. T. Zinner, F.-K. Thielemann. The
- 442 role of fission in neutron star mergers and its impact on the r-process peaks. The Astrophysical
- 443 *Journal* **808**, 30 (2015).
- 444
- 445 (13) S. Goriely, G. Martínez Pinedo. The production of transuranium elements by the r-process
  446 nucleosynthesis. *Nuclear Physics A* 944, 158-176 (2015).
- 447
- 448 (14) I. V. Panov, I. Y. Korneev, F.-K. Thielemann. The r-Process in the region of transuranium
- elements and the contribution of fission products to the nucleosynthesis of nuclei with  $A \le 130$ .
- 450 Astronomy Letters **34**, 189-197 (2008).
- 451
- 452 (15) T. M. Sprouse, R. Navarro Perez, R. Surman, M. R. Mumpower, G. C. McLaughlin, N.
- 453 Schunck. Propagation of statistical uncertainties of Skyrme mass models to simulations of r-
- 454 process nucleosynthesis. *Physical Review C* **101**, 055803 (2020).
- 455
- (16) N. Vassh, M. R. Mumpower, G. C. McLaughlin, T. M. Sprouse, R. Surman. Coproduction
  of light and heavy r-process elements via fission deposition. *The Astrophysical Journal* 896, 28
  (2020).
- 459
- 460 (17) M. Reichert, M. Obergaulinger, M. Eichler, M. Á. Aloy, A. Arcones. Nucleosynthesis in
  461 magneto-rotational supernovae. *Monthly Notices of the Royal Astronomical Society* 501, 5733462 5745 (2021).
- 463

464	(18) M. R. Mumpower, R. Surman, G. C. McLaughlin, A. Aprahamian. The impact of individual
465	nuclear properties on r-process nucleosynthesis. Progress in Particle and Nuclear Physics 86,
466	86-126 (2016).
467	
468	(19) JF. Lemaître, S. Goriely, A. Bauswein, HT. Janka. Fission fragment distributions and
469	their impact on the r -process nucleosynthesis in neutron star mergers. Physical Review C 103,
470	025806 (2021).
471	
472	(20) H. Schatz, R. Toenjes, B. Pfeiffer, T. C. Beers, J. J. Cowan, V. Hill, KL. Kratz. Thorium
473	and uranium chronometers applied to CS 31082-001. The Astrophysical Journal 579, 626-638
474	(2002).
475	

- 476 (21) J. Beun, G. C. McLaughlin, R. Surman, W. R. Hix. Fission cycling in a supernova r process.
  477 *Physical Review C* 77, 035804 (2008).
- 478
- 479 (22) S. Goriely, J.-L. Sida, J.-F. Lemaître, S. Panebianco, N. Dubray, S. Hilaire, A. Bauswein,

480 H.-T. Janka. New fission fragment distributions and r-process origin of the rare-earth elements.

481 *Physical Review Letters* **111**, 242502 (2013).

- 482
- 483 (23) X. Wang, N3AS Collaboration, N. Vassh, FIRE Collaboration, T. Sprouse, M. Mumpower,
- 484 R. Vogt, J. Randrup, R. Surman. MeV gamma rays from fission: A distinct signature of actinide
- 485 production in neutron star mergers. *The Astrophysical Journal* **903**, L3 (2020).
- 486

- 487 (24) S. Wanajo. Physical conditions for the r-process. I. Radioactive energy sources of
- 488 kilonovae. *The Astrophysical Journal* **868**, 65 (2018).
- 489
- 490 (25) Y. Zhu, R. T. Wollaeger, N. Vassh, R. Surman, T. M. Sprouse, M. R. Mumpower, P.
- 491 Möller, G. C. McLaughlin, O. Korobkin, T. Kawano, P. J. Jaffke, E. M. Holmbeck, C. L. Fryer,
- 492 W. P. Even, A. J. Couture, J. Barnes. Californium-254 and kilonova light curves. *The*
- 493 *Astrophysical Journal* **863**, L23 (2018).
- 494
- 495 (26) M.-R. Wu, J. Barnes, G. Martínez-Pinedo, B. D. Metzger. Fingerprints of heavy-element
- 496 nucleosynthesis in the late-time lightcurves of kilonovae. *Physical Review Letters* 122, 062701
  497 (2019).
- 498
- 499 (27) I. Petermann, K. Langanke, G. Martínez-Pinedo, I. V. Panov, P.-G. Reinhard, F.-K.
- 500 Thielemann. Have superheavy elements been produced in nature? *European Physical Journal A*501 48, 122 (2012).
- 502
- 503 (28) C. J. Horowitz, A. Arcones, B. Côté, I. Dillmann, W. Nazarewicz, I. U. Roederer, H. Schatz,
- 504 A. Aprahamian, D. Atanasov, A. Bauswein, T. C. Beers, J. Bliss, M. Brodeur, J. A. Clark, A.
- 505 Frebel, F. Foucart, C. J. Hansen, O. Just, A. Kankainen, G. C. McLaughlin, J. M. Kelly, S. N.
- 506 Liddick, D. M. Lee, J. Lippuner, D. Martin, J. Mendoza-Temis, B. D. Metzger, M. R.
- 507 Mumpower, G. Perdikakis, J. Pereira, B. W. O'Shea, R. Reifarth, A. M. Rogers, D. M. Siegel, A.
- 508 Spyrou, R. Surman, X. Tang, T. Uesaka, M. Wang. R-process nucleosynthesis: connecting rare-
- 509 isotope beam facilities with the cosmos. Journal of Physics G Nuclear Physics 46, 083001
- 510 (2019).

5	1	1
J	T	T

512	(29) S. K. Hanson, A. D. Pollington, C. R. Waidmann, W. S. Kinman, A. M. Wende, J. L. Miller,
513	J. A. Berger, W. J. Oldham, H. D. Selby. Measurements of extinct fission products in nuclear
514	bomb debris: Determination of the yield of the Trinity nuclear test 70 y later. Proceedings of the
515	National Academy of Sciences 113, 8104-8108 (2016).
516	
517	(30) S. A. Becker. Approximating the r-process on earth with thermonuclear explosions: Lessons
518	learned and unanswered questions. Origin and Evolution of the Elements, Carnegie
519	Observatories Astrophysics Series, Pasadena: Carnegie Observatories 4 (2004).
520	
521	(31) A. Aprahamian. Open challenges to nuclear physics resulting from the neutron star merger.
522	Nuclear Physics News 3, 11-16 (2021).
523	
524	(32) R. D. Loss, J. R. De Laeter, K. J. R. Rosman, T. M. Benjamin, D. B. Curtis, A. J. Gancarz,
525	J. E. Delmore, W. J. Maeck. The Oklo natural reactors: cumulative fission yields and nuclear
526	characteristics of Reactor Zone 9. Earth and Planetary Science Letters 89, 193-206 (1988).
527	
528	(33) A. Abohalima, A. Frebel. JINAbase—A database for chemical abundances of metal-poor
529	stars. The Astrophysical Journal Supplement Series 238, 36 (2018).
530	
531	(34) A. Frebel. From nuclei to the cosmos: Tracing heavy-element production with the oldest
532	stars. Annual Review of Nuclear and Particle Science 68, 237-269 (2018).
533	

- 534 (35) V. Hill, B. Plez, R. Cayrel, T. C. Beers, B. Nordström, J. Andersen, M. Spite, F. Spite, B.
- 535 Barbuy, P. Bonifacio, E. Depagne, P. François, F. Primas. First stars. I. The extreme r-element
- rich, iron-poor halo giant CS 31082-001. Implications for the r-process site(s) and radioactive
- 537 cosmochronology. *Astronomy and Astrophysics* **387**, 560-579 (2002).
- 538
- 539 (36) B. Barbuy, M. Spite, V. Hill, F. Primas, B. Plez, R. Cayrel, F. Spite, S. Wanajo, C. Siqueira
- 540 Mello, J. Andersen, B. Nordström, T. C. Beers, P. Bonifacio, P. François, P. Molaro. First stars.
- 541 XV. Third-peak r-process element and actinide abundances in the uranium-rich star CS31082-
- 542 001. *Astronomy and Astrophysics* **534**, A60 (2011).
- 543
- 544 (37) C. Sneden, J. E. Lawler, J. J. Cowan, I. I. Ivans, E. A. Den Hartog. New rare earth element
- 545 abundance distributions for the Sun and five r-process-rich very metal-poor stars. The

546 Astrophysical Journal Supplement Series 182, 80-96 (2009).

- 547
- 548 (38) C. Siqueira Mello, M. Spite, B. Barbuy, F. Spite, E. Caffau, V. Hill, S. Wanajo, F. Primas,
- 549 B. Plez, R. Cayrel, J. Andersen, B. Nordström, C. Sneden, T. C. Beers, P. Bonifacio, P. François,
- 550 P. Molaro. First stars. XVI. HST/STIS abundances of heavy elements in the uranium-rich metal-
- poor star CS 31082-001. Astronomy and Astrophysics **550**, A122 (2013).
- 552
- 553 (39) V. Hill, N. Christlieb, T. C. Beers, P. S. Barklem, K.-L. Kratz, B. Nordström, B. Pfeiffer, K.
- 554 Farouqi. The Hamburg/ESO R-process Enhanced Star survey (HERES). XI. The highly r-
- process-enhanced star CS 29497-004. Astronomy and Astrophysics 607, A91 (2017).

- 557 (40) C. Sneden, J. J. Cowan, J. E. Lawler, I. I. Ivans, S. Burles, T. C. Beers, F. Primas, V. Hill, J.
- 558 W. Truran, G. M. Fuller, B. Pfeiffer, K.-L. Kratz. The extremely metal-poor, neutron capture-
- rich star CS 22892-052: A comprehensive abundance analysis. *The Astrophysical Journal* **591**,
- 560 936-953 (2003).
- 561
- 562 (41) J. J. Cowan, C. Sneden, T. C. Beers, J. E. Lawler, J. Simmerer, J. W. Truran, F. Primas, J.
- 563 Collier, S. Burles. Hubble Space Telescope observations of heavy elements in metal-poor
- 564 Galactic halo stars. *The Astrophysical Journal* **627**, 238-250 (2005).
- 565
- 566 (42) H. Nilsson, H. Hartman, L. Engström, H. Lundberg, C. Sneden, V. Fivet, P. Palmeri, P.
- Quinet, É. Biémont. Transition probabilities of astrophysical interest in the niobium ions Nb<sup>+</sup> and
  Nb<sup>2+</sup>. *Astronomy and Astrophysics* 511, A16 (2010).
- 569
- 570 (43) M. Cain, A. Frebel, M. Gull, A. P. Ji, V. M. Placco, T. C. Beers, J. Meléndez, R. Ezzeddine,
- 571 A. R. Casey, T. T. Hansen, I. U. Roederer, C. Sakari. The R-Process Alliance: Chemical
- bindances for a trio of r-process-enhanced Stars—one strong, one moderate, and one mild. *The*
- 573 *Astrophysical Journal* **864**, 43 (2018).
- 574
- 575 (44) W. Hayek, U. Wiesendahl, N. Christlieb, K. Eriksson, A. J. Korn, P. S. Barklem, V. Hill, T.
- 576 C. Beers, K. Farouqi, B. Pfeiffer, K.-L. Kratz. The Hamburg/ESO R-process enhanced star
- 577 survey (HERES). IV. Detailed abundance analysis and age dating of the strongly r-process
- 578 enhanced stars CS 29491-069 and HE 1219-0312. Astronomy and Astrophysics 504, 511-524
- 579 (2009).
- 580

- 581 (45) I. U. Roederer, C. M. Sakari, V. M. Placco, T. C. Beers, R. Ezzeddine, A. Frebel, T. T.
- 582 Hansen. The R-Process Alliance: A comprehensive abundance analysis of HD 222925, a metal-
- 583 poor star with an extreme r-process enhancement of [Eu/H] = -0.14. *The Astrophysical Journal*
- 584 **865**, 129 (2018).
- 585
- 586 (46) I. U. Roederer, J. E. Lawler, E. A. Den Hartog, V. M. Placco, R. Surman, T. C. Beers, R.
- 587 Ezzeddine, A. Frebel, T. T. Hansen, K. Hattori, E. M. Holmbeck, C. M. Sakari. The R-process
- 588 Alliance: A nearly complete r-process abundance template derived from ultraviolet spectroscopy
- 589 of the r-process-enhanced metal-poor Star HD 222925. The Astrophysical Journal Supplement
- 590 Series **260**, 27 (2022).
- 591
- 592 (47) C. M. Sakari, V. M. Placco, T. Hansen, E. M. Holmbeck, T. C. Beers, A. Frebel, I. U.
- 593 Roederer, K. A. Venn, G. Wallerstein, C. E. Davis, E. M. Farrell, D. Yong. The r-process pattern
- of a bright, highly r-process-enhanced metal-poor halo star at  $[Fe/H] \sim -2$ . The Astrophysical
- 595 *Journal* **854**, L20 (2018).
- 596
- 597 (48) D. K. Lai, M. Bolte, J. A. Johnson, S. Lucatello, A. Heger, S. E. Woosley. Detailed
- abundances for 28 metal-poor stars: Stellar relics in the Milky Way. *The Astrophysical Journal*681, 1524-1556 (2008).
- 600
- 601 (49) P. François, E. Depagne, V. Hill, M. Spite, F. Spite, B. Plez, T. C. Beers, J. Andersen, G.
- James, B. Barbuy, R. Cayrel, P. Bonifacio, P. Molaro, B. Nordström, F. Primas. First stars. VIII.
- 603 Enrichment of the neutron-capture elements in the early Galaxy. Astronomy and Astrophysics
- 604 **476**, 935-950 (2007).

605

606	(50) F. Spite, M. Spite, B. Barbuy, P. Bonifacio, E. Caffau, P. François. Abundance patterns of
607	the light neutron-capture elements in very and extremely metal-poor stars. Astronomy and
608	Astrophysics 611, A30 (2018).
609	
610	(51) L. Mashonkina, N. Christlieb, P. S. Barklem, V. Hill, T. C. Beers, A. Velichko. The
611	Hamburg/ESO R-process Enhanced Star Survey (HERES). V. Detailed abundance analysis of
612	the r-process enhanced star HE 2327-5642. Astronomy and Astrophysics 516, A46 (2010).
613	
614	(52) J. J. Cowan, C. Sneden, S. Burles, I. I. Ivans, T. C. Beers, J. W. Truran, J. E. Lawler, F.
615	Primas, G. M. Fuller, B. Pfeiffer, KL. Kratz. The chemical composition and age of the metal-
616	poor halo star BD +17°3248. The Astrophysical Journal 572, 861-879 (2002).
617	
618	(53) E. A. Den Hartog, M. T. Herd, J. E. Lawler, C. Sneden, J. J. Cowan, T. C. Beers. Improved
619	laboratory transition probabilities for Pt I and application to the platinum abundances of BD
620	+17°3248 and the Sun. The Astrophysical Journal 619, 639-655 (2005).
621	
622	(54) I. U. Roederer, C. Sneden, J. E. Lawler, J. J. Cowan. New abundance determinations of
623	cadmium, lutetium, and osmium in the r-process enriched star BD +17 3248. The Astrophysical
624	Journal <b>714</b> , L123-L127 (2010).
625	
626	(55) I. U. Roederer, J. J. Cowan, M. Pignatari, T. C. Beers, E. A. Den Hartog, R. Ezzeddine, A.
627	Frebel, T. T. Hansen, E. M. Holmbeck, M. R. Mumpower, V. M. Placco, C. M. Sakari, R.

- 628 Surman, N. Vassh. The R-Process Alliance: Abundance universality among some elements at
- and between the first and second r-process peaks. *The Astrophysical Journal* **936**, 84 (2022).
- 630
- 631 (56) I. I. Ivans, J. Simmerer, C. Sneden, J. E. Lawler, J. J. Cowan, R. Gallino, S. Bisterzo. Near-
- 632 ultraviolet observations of HD 221170: New insights into the nature of r-process-rich stars. The
- 633 Astrophysical Journal **645**, 613-633 (2006).
- 634
- 635 (57) C. J. Hansen, F. Primas, H. Hartman, K.-L. Kratz, S. Wanajo, B. Leibundgut, K. Farouqi, O.
- 636 Hallmann, N. Christlieb, H. Nilsson. Silver and palladium help unveil the nature of a second r-
- 637 process. Astronomy and Astrophysics 545, A31 (2012).
- 638
- 639 (58) M. Hanke, C. J. Hansen, H.-G. Ludwig, S. Cristallo, A. McWilliam, E. K. Grebel, L.
- 640 Piersanti. A high-precision abundance analysis of the nuclear benchmark star HD 20. Astronomy
- 641 *and Astrophysics* **635**, A104 (2020).
- 642
- 643 (59) V. M. Placco, R. M. Santucci, Z. Yuan, M. K. Mardini, E. M. Holmbeck, X. Wang, R.
- 644 Surman, T. T. Hansen, I. U. Roederer, T. C. Beers, A. Choplin, A. P. Ji, R. Ezzeddine, A. Frebel,
- 645 C. M. Sakari, D. D. Whitten, J. Zepeda. The R-process Alliance: The peculiar chemical
- abundance pattern of RAVE J183013.5-455510. *The Astrophysical Journal* **897**, 78 (2020).
- 647
- 648 (60) S. Honda, W. Aoki, T. Kajino, H. Ando, T. C. Beers, H. Izumiura, K. Sadakane, M. Takada-
- 649 Hidai. Spectroscopic studies of extremely metal-poor stars with the Subaru High Dispersion
- 650 Spectrograph. II. The r-process elements, including thorium. *The Astrophysical Journal* 607,
- 651 474-498 (2004).

652

- 653 (61) J. A. Johnson. Abundances of 30 elements in 23 metal-poor stars. *The Astrophysical Journal*654 *Supplement Series* 139, 219-247 (2002).
- 655
- (62) J. A. Johnson, M. Bolte. The r-process in the early Galaxy. *The Astrophysical Journal* 579,
  616-625 (2002).

658

- 659 (63) I. U. Roederer, J. E. Lawler, J. J. Cowan, T. C. Beers, A. Frebel, I. I. Ivans, H. Schatz, J. S.
- 660 Sobeck, C. Sneden. Detection of the second r-process peak element tellurium in metal-poor stars.
- 661 *The Astrophysical Journal* **747**, L8 (2012).

662

- 663 (64) I. U. Roederer, J. E. Lawler, J. S. Sobeck, T. C. Beers, J. J. Cowan, A. Frebel, I. I. Ivans, H.
- 664 Schatz, C. Sneden, I. B. Thompson. New Hubble Space Telescope observations of heavy
- 665 elements in four metal-poor stars. *The Astrophysical Journal Supplement Series* **203**, 27 (2012).

- 667 (65) I. U. Roederer, H. Schatz, J. E. Lawler, T. C. Beers, J. J. Cowan, A. Frebel, I. I. Ivans, C.
- 668 Sneden, J. S. Sobeck. New detections of arsenic, selenium, and other heavy elements in two
- 669 metal-poor stars. *The Astrophysical Journal* **791**, 32 (2014).
- 670
- 671 (66) I. U. Roederer, J. E. Lawler. Detection of elements at all three r-process peaks in the metal-
- 672 poor star HD 160617. *The Astrophysical Journal* **750**, 76 (2012).
- 673

- 674 (67) R. C. Peterson, B. Barbuy, M. Spite. Trans-iron Ge, As, Se, and heavier elements in the
- 675 dwarf metal-poor stars HD 19445, HD 84937, HD 94028, HD 140283, and HD 160617.
- 676 Astronomy and Astrophysics **638**, A64 (2020).
- 677
- 678 (68) M. Aoki, Y. Ishimaru, W. Aoki, S. Wanajo. Diversity of abundance patterns of light
- 679 neutron-capture elements in very-metal-poor stars. *The Astrophysical Journal* **837**, 8 (2017).

680

- 681 (69) S. Honda, W. Aoki, Y. Ishimaru, S. Wanajo. Neutron-capture elements in the very metal-
- 682 poor star HD 88609: Another star with excesses of light neutron-capture elements. The
- 683 Astrophysical Journal 666, 1189-1197 (2007).

684

(70) S. Honda, W. Aoki, Y. Ishimaru, S. Wanajo, S. G. Ryan. Neutron-capture elements in the
very metal poor star HD 122563. *The Astrophysical Journal* 643, 1180-1189 (2006).

687

688 (71) J. E. Lawler, C. Sneden, J. J. Cowan, I. I. Ivans, E. A. Den Hartog. Improved laboratory

transition probabilities for Ce II, application to the cerium abundances of the Sun and five r-

690 process-rich, metal-poor stars, and rare earth lab data summary. *The Astrophysical Journal* 

691 Supplement Series **182**, 51-79 (2009).

- 692
- 693 (72) D. Radice, A. Perego, K. Hotokezaka, S. A. Fromm, S. Bernuzzi, L. F. Roberts. Binary
- 694 neutron star mergers: mass ejection, electromagnetic counterparts, and nucleosynthesis. *The*
- 695 Astrophysical Journal 869, 130 (2018).'

- 697 (73) M. R. Mumpower, P. Jaffke, M. Verriere, J. Randrup. Primary fission fragment mass yields
- 698 across the chart of nuclides. *Physical Review C* **101**, 054607 (2020).
- 699
- 700 (74) N. Vassh, R. Vogt, R. Surman, J. Randrup, T. M. Sprouse, M. R. Mumpower, P. Jaffke, D.
- 701 Shaw, E. M. Holmbeck, Y. Zhu, G. C. McLaughlin. Using excitation-energy dependent fission
- yields to identify key fissioning nuclei in r-process nucleosynthesis. Journal of Physics G
- 703 *Nuclear Physics* **46**, 065202 (2019).
- 704
- 705 (75) G. J. Wasserburg, M. Busso, R. Gallino. Abundances of actinides and short-lived
- nonactinides in the interstellar medium: Diverse supernova sources for the r-processes. The
- 707 Astrophysical Journal 466, L109 (1996).
- 708
- 709 (76) A. McWilliam. Barium abundances in extremely metal-poor stars. *The Astronomical*710 *Journal* 115, 1640-1647 (1998).
- 711
- 712 (77) C. Sneden, J. J. Cowan, I. I. Ivans, G. M. Fuller, S. Burles, T. C. Beers, J. E. Lawler.
- 713 Evidence of multiple r-process sites in the early Galaxy: New observations of CS 22892-052.
- 714 *The Astrophysical Journal* **533**, L139-L142 (2000).
- 715
- 716 (78) W. Aoki, S. Honda, T. C. Beers, T. Kajino, H. Ando, J. E. Norris, S. G. Ryan, H. Izumiura,
- 717 K. Sadakane, M. Takada-Hidai. Spectroscopic studies of very metal-poor stars with the Subaru
- 718 High Dispersion Spectrograph. III. Light neutron-capture elements. The Astrophysical Journal
- 719 **632**, 611-637 (2005).
- 720

- 721 (79) I. U. Roederer, J. J. Cowan, A. I. Karakas, K.-L. Kratz, M. Lugaro, J. Simmerer, K. Farouqi,
- C. Sneden. The ubiquity of the rapid neutron-capture process. *The Astrophysical Journal* 724,
  975-993 (2010).
- 724
- 725 (80) R. Cayrel, V. Hill, T. C. Beers, B. Barbuy, M. Spite, F. Spite, B. Plez, J. Andersen, P.
- 726 Bonifacio, P. François, P. Molaro, B. Nordström, F. Primas. Measurement of stellar age from
- 727 uranium decay. *Nature* **409**, 691-692 (2001).
- 728
- 729 (81) E. M. Holmbeck, T. C. Beers, I. U. Roederer, V. M. Placco, T. T. Hansen, C. M. Sakari, C.
- 730 Sneden, C. Liu, Y. S. Lee, J. J. Cowan, A. Frebel. The R-Process Alliance: 2MASS
- J09544277+5246414, the most actinide-enhanced r-II star known. *The Astrophysical Journal*859, L24 (2018).
- 733
- (82) A. P. Ji, A. Frebel. From actinides to zinc: Using the full abundance pattern of the brightest
- star in Reticulum II to distinguish between different r-process sites. *The Astrophysical Journal*856, 138 (2018).
- 737
- 738 (83) E. M. Holmbeck, A. Frebel, G. C. McLaughlin, M. R. Mumpower, T. M. Sprouse, R.
- 739 Surman. Actinide-rich and actinide-poor r-process-enhanced metal-poor stars do not require
- separate r-process progenitors. *The Astrophysical Journal* **881**, 5 (2019).
- 741
- 742 (84) A. Perego, S. Rosswog, R. M. Cabezón, O. Korobkin, R. Käppeli, A. Arcones, M.
- 743 Liebendörfer. Neutrino-driven winds from neutron star merger remnants. Monthly Notices of the
- 744 *Royal Astronomical Society* **443**, 3134-3156 (2014).

745	
746	(85) D. Kasen, R. Fernández, B. D. Metzger. Kilonova light curves from the disc wind outflows
747	of compact object mergers. Monthly Notices of the Royal Astronomical Society 450, 1777-1786
748	(2015).
749	
750	(86) R. Fernández B. D. Metzger. Electromagnetic signatures of neutron star mergers in the
751	Advanced LIGO era. Annual Review of Nuclear and Particle Science 66, 23-45 (2016).
752	
753	(87) T. Tsujimoto, N. Nishimura, K. Kyutoku. r-process enrichment in the Galactic halo
754	characterized by nucleosynthesis variation in the ejecta of coalescing neutron star binaries. The
755	Astrophysical Journal 889, 119 (2020).
756	
757	(88) F. L. H. Tissot, N. Dauphas, L. Grossman. Origin of uranium isotope variations in early
758	solar nebula condensates. Science Advances 2, e1501400-e1501400 (2016).
759	
760	(89) M. Lugaro, A. Yagüe López, B. Soós, B. Côté, M. Pető, N. Vassh, B. Wehmeyer, M.
761	Pignatari. Origin of plutonium-244 in the early solar system. Universe 8, 343 (2022).
762	
763	(90) A. Wallner, T. Faestermann, J. Feige, C. Feldstein, K. Knie, G. Korschinek, W. Kutschera,
764	A. Ofan, M. Paul, F. Quinto, G. Rugel, P. Steier. Abundance of live <sup>244</sup> Pu in deep-sea reservoirs
765	on Earth points to rarity of actinide nucleosynthesis. Nature Communications 6, 5956 (2015).
766	
767	(91) A. Wallner, M. B. Froehlich, M. A. C. Hotchkis, N. Kinoshita, M. Paul, M. Martschini, S.
768	Pavetich, S. G. Tims, N. Kivel, D. Schumann, M. Honda, H. Matsuzaki, T. Yamagata. 60Fe and
- <sup>244</sup>Pu deposited on Earth constrain the r-process yields of recent nearby supernovae. *Science* 372,
  770 742-745 (2021).
- 771
- 772 (92) A. I. Karakas, J. C. Lattanzio. The Dawes Review 2: Nucleosynthesis and stellar yields of
- 10w- and intermediate-mass single stars. *Publications of the Astronomical Society of Australia*31, e030 (2014).
- 775
- 776 (93) S. Wanajo, H.-T. Janka, B. Müller. Electron-capture supernovae as the origin of elements
- beyond iron. *The Astrophysical Journal* **726**, L15 (2011).

- (94) A. Arcones, F. Montes. Production of light-element primary process nuclei in neutrinodriven winds. *The Astrophysical Journal* **731**, 5 (2011).
- 781
- 782 (95) A. D. Vlasov, B. D. Metzger, J. Lippuner, L. F. Roberts, T. A. Thompson. Neutrino-heated
- 783 winds from millisecond protomagnetars as sources of the weak r-process. Monthly Notices of the
- 784 *Royal Astronomical Society* **468**, 1522-1533 (2017).
- 785
- 786 (96) U. Frischknecht, R. Hirschi, M. Pignatari, A. Maeder, G. Meynet, C. Chiappini, F.-K.
- 787 Thielemann, T. Rauscher, C. Georgy, S. Ekström. s-process production in rotating massive stars
- at solar and low metallicities. Monthly Notices of the Royal Astronomical Society 456, 1803-
- 789 1825 (2016).
- 790

- 791 (97) A. Choplin, R. Hirschi, G. Meynet, S. Ekström, C. Chiappini, A. Laird. Non-standard s-
- process in massive rotating stars. Yields of 10-150  $M_{\odot}$  models at Z = 10<sup>-3</sup>. Astronomy and
- 793 *Astrophysics* **618**, A133 (2018).
- 794
- 795 (98) P. Banerjee, A. Heger, Y.-Z. Qian. New s-process mechanism in rapidly rotating massive

population II stars. *The Astrophysical Journal* **887**, 187 (2019).

- 797
- 798 (99) M. Lugaro, S. W. Campbell, H. Van Winckel, K. De Smedt, A. I. Karakas, F. Käppeler.
- 799 Post-AGB stars in the Magellanic Clouds and neutron-capture processes in AGB stars.
- 800 Astronomy and Astrophysics 583, A77 (2015).
- 801
- 802 (100) M. Hampel, R. J. Stancliffe, M. Lugaro, B. S. Meyer. The intermediate neutron-capture
- 803 process and carbon-enhanced metal-poor stars. *The Astrophysical Journal* **831**, 171 (2016).
- 804
- 805 (101) P. A. Denissenkov, F. Herwig, P. Woodward, R. Andrassy, M. Pignatari, S. Jones. The i-
- 806 process yields of rapidly accreting white dwarfs from multicycle He-shell flash stellar evolution
- 807 models with mixing parametrizations from 3D hydrodynamics simulations. *Monthly Notices of*
- 808 *the Royal Astronomical Society* **488**, 4258-4270 (2019).
- 809
- 810 (102) C. Sneden, J. J. Cowan, R. Gallino. Neutron-capture elements in the early galaxy. *Annual*811 *Review of Astronomy and Astrophysics* 46, 241-288 (2008).
- 812
- 813 (103) F. Käppeler, H. Beer, K. Wisshak. s-process nucleosynthesis-nuclear physics and the
- 814 classical model. Reports on Progress in Physics 52, 945-1013 (1989).

- 815
- 816 (104) S. Goriely. Uncertainties in the solar system r-abundance distribution. *Astronomy and*817 *Astrophysics* 342, 881-891 (1999).
- 818
- 819 (105) D. L. Burris, C. A. Pilachowski, T. E. Armandroff, C. Sneden, J. J. Cowan, H. Roe.
- 820 Neutron-capture elements in the early Galaxy: Insights from a large sample of metal-poor giants.

821 *The Astrophysical Journal* **544**, 302-319 (2000).

- 822
- 823 (106) S. Bisterzo, C. Travaglio, R. Gallino, M. Wiescher, F. Käppeler. Galactic chemical
- 824 evolution and solar s-process abundances: Dependence on the <sup>13</sup>C-pocket structure. *The*
- 825 Astrophysical Journal **787**, 10 (2014).
- 826
- 827 (107) L. Mashonkina, N. Christlieb. The Hamburg/ESO R-process Enhanced Star survey
- 828 (HERES). IX. Constraining pure r-process Ba/Eu abundance ratio from observations of r-II stars.
- 829 Astronomy and Astrophysics 565, A123 (2014).
- 830
- 831 (108) N. Prantzos, C. Abia, S. Cristallo, M. Limongi, A. Chieffi. Chemical evolution with
- rotating massive star yields II. A new assessment of the solar s- and r-process components.
- 833 *Monthly Notices of the Royal Astronomical Society* **491**, 1832-1850 (2020).
- 834
- 835 (109) J. Simmerer, C. Sneden, J. J. Cowan, J. Collier, V. M. Woolf, J. E. Lawler. The rise of the
  836 s-process in the Galaxy. *The Astrophysical Journal* 617, 1091-1114 (2004).
- 837

- 838 (110) I. U. Roederer, K. Hattori, M. Valluri. Kinematics of highly r-process-enhanced field stars:
- 839 Evidence for an accretion origin and detection of several groups from disrupted satellites. The
- 840 Astronomical Journal **156**, 179 (2018).
- 841
- 842 (111) D. Gudin, D. Shank, T. C. Beers, Z. Yuan, G. Limberg, I. U. Roederer, V. Placco, E. M.
- 843 Holmbeck, S. Dietz, K. C. Rasmussen, T. T. Hansen, C. M. Sakari, R. Ezzeddine, A. Frebel. The
- 844 R-Process Alliance: Chemodynamically tagged groups of halo r-process-enhanced stars reveal a
- shared chemical-evolution history. *The Astrophysical Journal* **908**, 79 (2021).
- 846
- 847 (112) Y. Hirai, T. C. Beers, M. Chiba, W. Aoki, D. Shank, T. R. Saitoh, T. Okamoto, J. Makino.
- 848 Origin of Highly r-process-enhanced stars in a cosmological zoom-in simulation of a Milky
- Way-like galaxy. *Monthly Notices of the Royal Astronomical Society*, in press, arXiv e-prints
  arXiv:2206.04060 (2022).
- 851
- 852 (113) C. Sneden, J. J. Cowan, J. E. Lawler, S. Burles, T. C. Beers, G. M. Fuller. Europium
- isotopic abundances in very metal poor stars. *The Astrophysical Journal* 566, L25-L28 (2002).
- 855 (114) I. U. Roederer, J. E. Lawler, C. Sneden, J. J. Cowan, J. S. Sobeck, C. A. Pilachowski.
- Europium, samarium, and neodymium isotopic fractions in metal-poor stars. *The Astrophysical Journal* 675, 723-745 (2008).
- 858
- 859 (115) P. Magain. Heavy elements in halo stars: the r/s-process controversy. *Astronomy and*860 *Astrophysics* 297, 686 (1995).
- 861

- 862 (116) D. L. Lambert, C. Allende Prieto. The isotopic mixture of barium in the metal-poor
- subgiant HD 140283. *Monthly Notices of the Royal Astronomical Society* **335**, 325-334 (2002).

864

- 865 (117) A. J. Gallagher, H.-G. Ludwig, S. G. Ryan, W. Aoki. A three-dimensional hydrodynamical
- line profile analysis of iron lines and barium isotopes in HD 140283. Astronomy and
- 867 *Astrophysics* **579**, A94 (2015).

868

- 869 (118) C. Wenyuan, J. Xiaohua, S. Jianrong, Z. Gang, Z. Bo. The odd isotope fractions of barium
- 870 in the strongly r-process-enhanced (r-II) stars. *The Astrophysical Journal* **854**, 131 (2018).

871

- 872 (119) T. T. Hansen, E. M. Holmbeck, T. C. Beers, V. M. Placco, I. U. Roederer, A. Frebel, C. M.
- 873 Sakari, J. D. Simon, I. B. Thompson. The R-process Alliance: First release from the southern
- search for r-process-enhanced stars in the Galactic halo. *The Astrophysical Journal* **858**, 92
- 875 (2018).

876

- 877 (120) C. M. Sakari, V. M. Placco, E. M. Farrell, I. U. Roederer, G. Wallerstein, T. C. Beers, R.
- 878 Ezzeddine, A. Frebel, T. Hansen, E. M. Holmbeck, C. Sneden, J. J. Cowan, K. A. Venn, C. E.
- 879 Davis, G. Matijevič, R. F. G. Wyse, J. Bland-Hawthorn, C. Chiappini, K. C. Freeman, B. K.
- 880 Gibson, E. K. Grebel, A. Helmi, G. Kordopatis, A. Kunder, J. Navarro, W. Reid, G. Seabroke,
- 881 M. Steinmetz, F. Watson. The R-Process Alliance: First release from the northern search for r-
- process-enhanced metal-poor stars in the Galactic halo. *The Astrophysical Journal* 868, 110

883 (2018).

- 885 (121) R. Ezzeddine, K. Rasmussen, A. Frebel, A. Chiti, K. Hinojisa, V. M. Placco, A. P. Ji, T. C.
- 886 Beers, T. T. Hansen, I. U. Roederer, C. M. Sakari, J. Melendez. The R-Process Alliance: First
- 887 Magellan/MIKE release from the southern search for r-process-enhanced stars. The
- 888 Astrophysical Journal 898, 150 (2020).
- 889
- 890 (122) E. M. Holmbeck, T. T. Hansen, T. C. Beers, V. M. Placco, D. D. Whitten, K. C.
- 891 Rasmussen, I. U. Roederer, R. Ezzeddine, C. M. Sakari, A. Frebel, M. R. Drout, J. D. Simon, I.
- 892 B. Thompson, J. Bland-Hawthorn, B. K. Gibson, E. K. Grebel, G. Kordopatis, A. Kunder, J.
- 893 Meléndez, J. F. Navarro, W. A. Reid, G. Seabroke, M. Steinmetz, F. Watson, R. F. G. Wyse. The
- 894 R-Process Alliance: Fourth data release from the search for r-process-enhanced stars in the

895 Galactic halo. *The Astrophysical Journal Supplement Series* **249**, 30 (2020).

- 896
- 897 (123) L. Lombardo, P. Bonifacio, P. François, C. J. Hansen, E. Caffau, M. Hanke, Á. Skúladóttir,
- 898 A. Arcones, M. Eichler, M. Reichert, A. Psaltis, A. J. Koch Hansen, L. Sbordone. Chemical
- 899 Evolution of R-process Elements in Stars (CERES). I. Stellar parameters and chemical
- abundances from Na to Zr. Astronomy and Astrophysics 665, A10 (2022).
- 901
- 902 (124) A. Marconi, P. Di Marcantonio, V. D'Odorico, S. Cristiani, R. Maiolino, E. Oliva, L.
- 903 Origlia, M. Riva, L. Valenziano, F. M. Zerbi, M. Abreu, V. Adibekyan, C. Allende Prieto, P. J.
- 904 Amado, W. Benz, I. Boisse, X. Bonfils, F. Bouchy, L. Buchhave, D. Buscher, A. Cabral, B. L.
- 905 Canto Martins, A. Chiavassa, J. Coelho, L. B. Christensen, E. Delgado-Mena, J. R. de Medeiros,
- 906 I. Di Varano, P. Figueira, M. Fisher, J. P. U. Fynbo, A. C. H. Glasse, M. Haehnelt, C. Haniff, C.
- J. Hansen, A. Hatzes, P. Huke, A. J. Korn, I. C. Leão, J. Liske, C. Lovis, P. Maslowski, I.
- 908 Matute, R. A. McCracken, C. J. A. P. Martins, M. J. P. F. G. Monteiro, S. Morris, T. Morris, H.

- 909 Nicklas, A. Niedzielski, N. J. Nunes, E. Palle, P. M. Parr-Burman, V. Parro, I. Parry, F. Pepe, N.
- 910 Piskunov, D. Queloz, A. Quirrenbach, R. Rebolo Lopez, A. Reiners, D. T. Reid, N. Santos, W.
- 911 Seifert, S. Sousa, H. C. Stempels, K. Strassmeier, X. Sun, S. Udry, L. Vanzi, M. Vestergaard, M.
- 912 Weber, E. Zackrisson. EELT-HIRES the high-resolution spectrograph for the E-ELT. Society of
- 913 Photo-Optical Instrumentation Engineers (SPIE): Ground-based and Airborne Instrumentation
- 914 for Astronomy VI **9908**, 990823 (2016).

915

- 916 (125) K. France, B. Fleming, G. West, S. R. McCandliss, M. R. Bolcar, W. Harris, L. Moustakas,
- 917 J. M. O'Meara, I. Pascucci, J. Rigby, D. Schiminovich, J. Tumlinson. The LUVOIR Ultraviolet
- 918 Multi-Object Spectrograph (LUMOS): instrument definition and design. Society of Photo-
- 919 Optical Instrumentation Engineers (SPIE) Conference Series 10397, 1039713 (2017).

- 921 (126) A. Szentgyorgyi, D. Baldwin, S. Barnes, J. Bean, S. Ben-Ami, P. Brennan, J.
- 922 Budynkiewicz, D. Catropa, M.-Y. Chun, C. Conroy, A. Contos, J. D. Crane, D. Durusky, H.
- 923 Epps, I. Evans, J. Evans, V. Fishman, A. Frebel, T. Gauron, D. Guzman, T. Hare, B.-H. Jang, J.-
- 924 G. Jang, A. Jordan, J. Kim, K.-M. Kim, Y. Kim, S. Lee, M. Lopez-Morales, C. Mendes de
- 925 Oliveira, K. McCracken, S. McMuldroch, J. Miller, M. Mueller, J. S. Oh, C. Onyuksel, B.-G.
- 926 Park, C. Park, S.-J. Park, C. Paxson, D. Phillips, D. Plummer, W. Podgorski, A. Rubin, A.
- 927 Seifahrt, D. Stark, J. Steiner, A. Uomoto, R. Walsworth, Y.-S. Yu. The GMT-consortium large
- 928 earth finder (G-CLEF): an optical echelle spectrograph for the Giant Magellan Telescope
- 929 (GMT). Society of Photo-Optical Instrumentation Engineers (SPIE): Ground-based and
- 930 Airborne Instrumentation for Astronomy VII **10702**, 107021R (2018).
- 931

- 932 (127) C. Evans, S. Cristiani, C. Opitom, G. Cescutti, V. D'Odorico, J. M. Alcalá, S. H. P.
- 933 Alencar, S. Balashev, B. Barbuy, N. Bastian, U. Battino, P. Cambianica, R. Carini, B. Carter, S.
- 934 Cassisi, B. Vaz Castilho, N. Christlieb, R. Cooke, S. Covino, G. Cremonese, K. Cunha, A. R. da
- 935 Silva, V. D'Elia, A. De Cia, G. De Silva, M. Diaz, P. Di Marcantonio, H. Ernandes, A.
- 936 Fitzsimmons, M. Franchini, B. T. Gänsicke, M. Genoni, R. E. Giribaldi, A. Grazian, C. J.
- 937 Hansen, F. La Forgia, M. Lazzarin, W. Marcolino, M. Marconi, A. Migliorini, P. Noterdaeme, C.
- 938 Pereira, B. Pilecki, A. Quirrenbach, S. Randich, S. Rossi, R. Smiljanic, C. Snodgrass, J. Stürmer,
- A. Trost, E. Vanzella, P. Ventura, D. Wright, T. Zafar. The CUBES science case. Experimental
- 940 Astronomy, in press, arXiv e-prints arXiv:2208.01677 (2022).

942	
943	Acknowledgements: We thank Ani Aprahamian, Eric Bell, Benoit Côté, Yutaka Hirai, Grant
944	Mathews, Chris Sneden, and Michael Wiescher for sharing their thoughts on early versions of
945	the manuscript.
946	
947	Funding:
948	US National Science Foundation grant PHY 14-30152 (Joint Institute for Nuclear
949	Astrophysics - Chemical Evolution of the Elements; JINA-CEE) (IUR, EMH, MRM, RS,
950	TCB, RE, AF)
951	US National Science Foundation grant OISE-1927130 (The International Research
952	Network for Nuclear Astrophysics; IReNA) (TTH)
953	US National Science Foundation: NOIRLab, which is managed by the Association of
954	Universities for Research in Astronomy (AURA) (VMP)
955	US National Science Foundation grant AST 1716251 (AF)
956	US National Science Foundation grant AST 1815403 (IUR)
957	US National Science Foundation grant AST 1815767 (IUR)
958	US National Science Foundation grant AST 2205847 (IUR)
959	US National Science Foundation grant PHY 2020275 (RS)
960	US Department of Energy grant DE-FG02-95-ER40934 (RS)
961	US Department of Energy grant DE-SC0018232 (RS)

962	NASA / Space Telescope Science Institute / Hubble Fellowship grant HST-HF2-
963	51481.001 (EMH)
964	NASA / Space Telescope Science Institute grant HST-GO-15657 (IUR, TCB, AF, VMP)
965	NASA / Space Telescope Science Institute grant HST-GO-15951 (IUR, TCB, RE, AF,
966	TTH, CMS)
967	NASA / Astrophysics Data Analysis Program grant 80NSSC21K0627 (IUR)
968	Natural Sciences and Engineering Research Council of Canada (NV)
969	Swedish Research Council grant VR 2021-05556 (TTH)
970	
971	Author contributions:
972	Conceptualization: IUR
973	Formal Analysis: IUR, TCB
974	Methodology: IUR, NV, EMH, MRM, RS
975	Investigation: IUR, NV
976	Visualization: IUR, NV, EMH, MRM, RS, JJC, TCB
977	Writing – original draft: IUR
978	Writing – review & editing: IUR, NV, EMH, MRM, RS, JJC, TCB, RE, AF, TTH, VMP,
979	CMS
980	Competing interests: Authors declare that they have no competing interests.
981	

982	Data and materials availability: All abundance data are sourced from the literature and
983	available in the main text or supplementary materials. The nucleosynthesis yields are
984	available at this Zenodo link: https://zenodo.org/record/7127232 .
985	
986	
987	Supplementary Materials
988	
989	Materials and Methods
990	Supplementary Text
991	Figs. S1 to S3
992	Tables S1 to S6
993	References (33–127)

	Science
1	MAAAS
2	
3	
4	Supplementary Materials for
5	Supprennentally inflaterialis for
6	Title: Observational signatures of transuranic fission fragments in stars
7	Authors: Ian U. Roederer <sup>1,2*</sup> , Nicole Vassh <sup>3</sup> , Erika M. Holmbeck <sup>4,5,2</sup> , Matthew R.
8	Mumpower <sup>6,7,2</sup> , Rebecca Surman <sup>8,2</sup> , John J. Cowan <sup>9</sup> , Timothy C. Beers <sup>8,2</sup> , Rana Ezzeddine <sup>10,2</sup> ,
9	Anna Frebel <sup>11,2</sup> , Terese T. Hansen <sup>12</sup> , Vinicius M. Placco <sup>13</sup> , Charli M. Sakari <sup>14</sup>
10	
11	Correspondence to: iur@umicn.edu
12	
14	This PDF file includes:
15	
16	Materials and Methods
17	Supplementary Text
18	Figs. S1 to S3
19	Tables S1 to S6
20	
21	Other Supplementary Materials for this manuscript include the following:
22	
23	N/A
24	
25	
26	

# 27 Materials and Methods

28

29 <u>Stellar sample</u>

30

31 We assemble our sample from the stellar abundance literature of the last few decades. Our 32 sample is drawn from stars listed in the JINAbase stellar abundance database (33), supplemented 33 with our own knowledge of studies not included in JINAbase. We require that Zr, Ba, and Eu 34 abundances are reported (i.e., detected) for each star. We require that [Ba/Eu] < -0.3 for each 35 star, which indicates that r-process material dominates the heavy-element abundance pattern 36 (34). This cut effectively excludes stars with contributions from other processes, such as the s-37 process or i-process, and, as discussed in detail below, it does not interfere with one of the 38 deviations from r-process universality (subtle variations in the [Ba/Eu] ratio). Finally, we require 39 that an abundance of at least one of the elements Se, Pd, or Te is reported, because we are 40 interested in studying the abundances of elements at and between the first and second r-process 41 peaks. Our sample is complete within these requirements to the best of our knowledge. 42 43 Our sample is comprised of 42 stars spanning  $\approx 2.6$  dex in metallicity ( $-3.57 \le [Fe/H] \le -0.99$ ) 44 and  $\approx 2.2$  dex in r-process enhancement ( $-0.52 \le [Eu/Fe] \le +1.69$ ). Table S1 lists the name of 45 each star, its metallicity ([Fe/H]), its r-process enhancement ([Eu/Fe]), abundances for the 46 elements Se through Te, and the literature references from which we have adopted the 47 abundances. Table S2 lists the uncertainties in these abundances. Table S3 lists the abundances 48 of the heavier r-process elements, Ba through Th, in these stars, and Table S4 lists the 49 uncertainties in these abundances.

51 We homogenize the stellar abundances from the literature as follows. Stellar abundances depend 52 directly on the atomic transition probability of each line, expressed as the log(gf) value, which is 53 the log of the degeneracy of the lower level times the oscillator strength. Different stellar 54 abundance studies may adopt different sets of log(gf) values for the same set of transitions, 55 which artificially increases the statistical scatter in the set of combined abundances. Furthermore, 56 laboratory measurements of the quantities necessary to calculate log(gf) values have been 57 improved in recent years for transitions of some of the lighter r-process elements, which can 58 improve the accuracy and precision of the stellar abundances. We therefore translate the 59 abundances of Se through Te to a uniform log(gf) scale, with references given in (46). These 60 changes are always small,  $\leq 0.14$  dex, and typically  $\leq 0.03$  dex, so the impact on the literature 61 abundances is, at most, minor. The updated log  $\varepsilon(X)$  abundances are presented in Table S1. The 62 heavier r-process elements are generally drawn from studies that are based on modern laboratory 63 measurements (71), so no corrections are made for the abundances of these elements. 64 65 Some heavy elements (Br, Kr, Rb, In, Sb, I, Xe, Cs, Lu, Ta, W, Re, Ir, Au, Hg, Tl, Pb, Bi, and U) 66 are omitted from our analysis because there are insufficient observations of them. Tc, Pm, Po, 67 At, Rn, Fr, Ra, Ac, and Pa are omitted because they have no stable or long-lived isotopes. This 68 discussed in a separate section below. 69 70 71 Abundance correlations and non-correlations in our sample 72

Figures S1 and S2 illustrate the relationships among each of the lighter r-process elements ( $34 \le Z \le 52$ ) and the heavier r-process elements ( $56 \le Z \le 78$ ) with the [Eu/Fe] ratios. Weighted linear least-squares fits are shown.

76

77 Figure S1 demonstrates that the correlations between the log  $\varepsilon(X/Zr)$  ratios and [Eu/Fe] are not 78 significant ( $p \ge 0.08$ , whereas p < 0.05 would indicate significance) for X = Se, Sr, Y, Nb, Mo, 79 Cd, Sn, and Te. The relationships are significant ( $p \le 0.002$ ) for X = Ru, Rh, Pd, and Ag. Figure 80 S2 demonstrates that no significant correlations are found among the log  $\varepsilon$ (X/Ba) ratios and 81 [Eu/Fe] for X = La, Nd, Sm, Tb, Ho, Tm, Hf, Os, and Pt. Significant ( $p \le 0.03$ ) correlations are 82 found for X = Ce, Pr, Eu, Gd, Dy, Er, and Yb. Hints of similar positive correlations are 83 suggested by the data for heavier r-process elements with limited numbers of measurements (Tb, 84 Ho, Tm, Hf, Os, Pt;  $n \le 21$  stars). We flag these elements as being ripe for future observational 85 studies to confirm or refute the positive trends hinted at by current data. The significant correlations for Ce and Pr (Z = 58 and 59) are in the opposite sense as the correlations we 86 87 associate with signatures of fission fragments. In other words, their  $\log \varepsilon(X/Ba)$  versus [Eu/Fe] 88 slopes are negative, and they are consistent with zero within 2 standard deviations ( $\sigma$ ). The cause 89 of this behavior is unclear at present, and we also flag it as being ripe for future theoretical 90 studies to investigate.

91

This behavior justifies our decision to collect these elements into five groups, as shown in Fig. 2:  $34 \le Z \le 42$  (Panel A; no significant correlations with [Eu/Fe]),  $44 \le Z \le 47$  (Panel B; significant positive correlations with [Eu/Fe]),  $48 \le Z \le 52$  (Panel C; no significant correlations with 95 [Eu/Fe]),  $56 \le Z \le 62$  (Panel D; no significant positive correlations with [Eu/Fe]), and  $63 \le Z \le$ 96 78 (Panel E; significant positive correlations, or hints thereof, with [Eu/Fe]).

97

98 We next assess the statistical significance of the correlations within each of these five element 99 groups. For the groups shown in Panels A, C, and D of Fig. 2, the slope of the weighted linear least-squares fit is consistent with zero within  $2\sigma$  for all three sets of elements. The  $r^2$  (coefficient 100 101 of determination) values for the elements shown in Panels A, C, and D indicate that only 0.2%, 102  $\ll 0.1\%$ , and 5%, respectively of the variations in the abundances can be associated with the 103 correlation with [Eu/Fe], rather than random uncertainties in the abundances. Furthermore, the p-104 value for the Pearson correlation coefficient for each sample cannot reject the null hypothesis of 105 zero slope at even modest significance ( $p \ge 0.05$ ) for two of the three sets of elements; the p-106 value for the third set (Ba through Sm; Panel D) is 0.005 for 157 measurements, although the 107 slope is negative and consistent with zero  $(-0.04 \pm 0.02)$  within  $2\sigma$ . 108 109 The groups shown in Panels B and E of Fig. 2 exhibit different behavior. Their slopes differ from zero by more than  $7\sigma$ . The  $r^2$  values for the elements shown in Panels B and E indicate that 43%110 111 and 13%, respectively, of the variations in the abundances can be associated with the correlation 112 with [Eu/Fe]. Their *p*-values are also highly significant (Ru through Ag:  $p \ll 0.001$  for n = 97113 individual measurements; Eu through Pt:  $p \ll 0.001$  for n = 231 individual measurements). 114 These metrics collectively suggest that the relationships shown in Panels B and E of Fig. 2 are 115 statistically significant.

# 118 <u>Nucleosynthesis calculations: hydrodynamics, nuclear data, and fission model</u>

119

120 The nucleosynthesis calculations presented in this work make use of the hydrodynamic 121 simulations of binary neutron star merger dynamical ejecta from (72), which considers a number 122 of variations including the neutron star equation of state, differing treatments of neutrino 123 transport, as well as distinct sets of progenitor masses. Here we use the simulation results 124 reported for the case of the LS220 equation of state with a neutrino leakage scheme, since these 125 are the conditions for which (72) considered a broad set of progenitor mass variations. Our 126 adoption of these models does not necessarily imply that neutron star mergers are the only viable 127 site of r-process nucleosynthesis. Rather, this scenario provides a reasonable set of conditions in 128 which to explore the behavior of fissioning transuranic nuclei in an r-process environment.

129

We demonstrate the stabilizing effect fission deposition has on predicted abundance ratios in Fig. 3. We consider two distinct fission yield sets for neutron-rich nuclei. In the first one, we assume a fissioning species always splits in half, concentrating products near  $A \sim 130$  and producing no lighter elements, such as Ag. In the second one, we adopt a proper theoretical model for the fission yields (73), which makes use of the properties predicted by the finite range liquid drop model (FRLDM) for very heavy unstable species. This model produces a significant amount of fission products with A < 130.

137

When exploring variations in the fission yields, we keep all other input nuclear data the same and use the datasets described in *(16)*. These datasets include mass predictions from FRDM2012,

140	with neutron capture and neutron-induced fission rates, and $\beta$ -decay and $\beta$ -delayed fission rates,
141	determined from the LANL CoH and BeoH models, respectively. We also adopt the FRLDM
142	fission barriers. Although significant uncertainties remain in the nuclear data for the neutron-rich
143	nuclei populated during our nucleosynthesis calculations, and such unknowns can impact the
144	exact abundance ratios predicted (74), our result regarding fission deposition and coproduction
145	does not depend on our choice of adopted nuclear data. Rather, the critical requirements
146	highlighted by these calculations are (a) that the r-process reaches nuclei that fission during the
147	times when abundances are being set, and (b) that these fission products include both lighter
148	elements, such as Ag, and heavier elements, such as Eu, thereby linking these elements through
149	their simultaneous production.
150	
151	
152	Modeling the abundance patterns with the inclusion of fission fragments
153	
154	Our analysis of the abundance pattern that includes enhancements from fission fragments is
155	constructed as follows. Our empirical template for the baseline abundance pattern is calculated
156	using the mean abundance ratios found in the 13 stars with $[Eu/Fe] \le +0.3$ . We assume that these
157	stars contain minimal contributions from the process responsible for producing the abundance
158	excesses observed in Figs. 1 and 2. This assumption is justified because the star-to-star
159	dispersion of the abundance ratios in these stars (median $\sigma$ of 0.19 dex) is comparable to the
160	typical observational uncertainties (median uncertainty of 0.22 dex). In other words, the
161	abundance ratio dispersion in these 13 stars can be fully accounted for by the observational
162	uncertainties.

164 The values of this baseline pattern, expressed as  $\log \varepsilon (X/Zr)_{base}$  and  $\log \varepsilon (X/Ba)_{base}$ , are listed in 165 Tables S5 and S6. The pattern is shown by the green line in Fig. 1. We assume that this baseline 166 r-process pattern is present in all stars in the sample. We add enhancements of transuranic fission 167 fragments, adopted from the models described in the previous section. We scale the level of 168 fission fragment enhancement,  $F_{enh}$ , to the log  $\varepsilon$ (Pd/Zr) ratio for the lighter r-process elements 169 and the log  $\varepsilon$ (Gd/Ba) ratio for the heavier r-process elements. For example,  $F_{enh} = 2$  indicates 1 170 part baseline and 2 parts fission fragments. Mathematically, the abundance ratio for element X 171 relative to Zr can be expressed as 172  $\log \varepsilon (X/Zr)_{total} = \log(F_{enh} \times 10^{\log \varepsilon (X/Zr)_{fiss}} + 10^{\log \varepsilon (X/Zr)_{base}}),$ 173 174 175 where 176  $\log \varepsilon (X/Zr)_{fiss} = \log \varepsilon (X)_{fiss} - \log \varepsilon (Pd)_{fiss} + \log \varepsilon (Pd/Zr)_{base}$ . 177 178 179 The values of log  $\varepsilon(X)_{\text{fiss}}$  and log  $\varepsilon(Pd)_{\text{fiss}}$  are adopted from the fission model with equal-mass 180 1.40 M<sub>•</sub> merging neutron stars, although the exact model selected has little influence on the 181 result, as shown in Fig. 3. All logarithms are base 10. We use an analogous set of equations to 182 describe the enhancements relative to the baseline abundance pattern for the heavier r-process 183 elements, replacing Zr with Ba and Pd with Gd. The enhancements described by these equations 184 are illustrated by the orange curves in Fig. 1.

We estimate the relative contributions from fission fragment deposition under the assumption
that stars with $[Eu/Fe] \le +0.3$ contain minimal contributions from fission. According to the
weighted least-squares linear fit shown in Fig. 2, the Ru through Ag abundances increase by a
factor of $\approx 2$ (0.30 dex) in stars with [Eu/Fe] $\approx +1.1$ . Thus, roughly half of the Ru through Ag in
stars with [Eu/Fe] $\approx$ +1.1 originated as fission fragments. These elements are enhanced by an
average factor of $\approx 3$ (0.48 dex) in the stars with the highest r-process enhancement, revealing
that fission may be responsible for up to $\approx$ 3/4, or $\approx$ 75%, of the Ru through Ag in these stars.
Similarly, the Eu through Pt abundances are enhanced by an average factor of $\approx$ 1.7 (0.22 dex) in
the stars with the highest r-process enhancement, revealing that fission may be responsible for up
to $\approx 60\%$ of the Eu and heavier lanthanide elements in these stars.
Supplementary Text
Previous investigations of deviations from r-process abundance universality
R-process universality has been generally understood to apply to heavy r-process elements,
including those with $56 \le Z \le 72$ (37) and $76 \le Z \le 78$ (34). Two important deviations from r-
process universality have been identified in observations. The larger effect of the two, whose
variation may span more than 1.5 dex from one metal-poor star to another, is characterized by
differing abundance levels between the lighter ( $34 \le Z \le 52$ ) and heavier ( $Z \ge 56$ ) r-process

208	elements (49, 57, 62, 75–79). The abundances of the two element groups are not fully
209	independent of one another. The abundance pattern within each of the two groups is generally
210	consistent, once the overall abundance level has been normalized (37, 55, 56, 68), with the
211	exception of the excesses we have identified. The second effect, whose variation may span up to
212	0.7 dex, is characterized by differing abundance levels between the actinides (Th and U; $Z = 90$
213	and 92) and the heaviest stable r-process elements (20, 35, 80-83). This effect, known as the
214	actinide boost when the actinides are enhanced, is discussed in more detail in a separate section
215	below. These deviations from universality may reflect a diversity of conditions within a given r-
216	process site (11, 83), multiple sites within events (84–87), multiple events (10), or some
217	combination of these scenarios.
218	
219	
220	Other evidence for cosmic production of transuranic nuclei
220 221	Other evidence for cosmic production of transuranic nuclei
<ul><li>220</li><li>221</li><li>222</li></ul>	Other evidence for cosmic production of transuranic nuclei Studies have found evidence for the cosmic production of the transuranic nuclei up to at least <i>A</i> =
<ul><li>220</li><li>221</li><li>222</li><li>223</li></ul>	Other evidence for cosmic production of transuranic nuclei Studies have found evidence for the cosmic production of the transuranic nuclei up to at least $A =$ 247. The decay products of <sup>244</sup> Pu and <sup>247</sup> Cm are found in meteorites (88, 89). <sup>244</sup> Pu has also been
<ul> <li>220</li> <li>221</li> <li>222</li> <li>223</li> <li>224</li> </ul>	Other evidence for cosmic production of transuranic nuclei Studies have found evidence for the cosmic production of the transuranic nuclei up to at least $A =$ 247. The decay products of <sup>244</sup> Pu and <sup>247</sup> Cm are found in meteorites (88, 89). <sup>244</sup> Pu has also been detected directly in seafloor sediments (90, 91). Transuranic nuclei have not been observed in
<ul> <li>220</li> <li>221</li> <li>222</li> <li>223</li> <li>224</li> <li>225</li> </ul>	Other evidence for cosmic production of transuranic nuclei Studies have found evidence for the cosmic production of the transuranic nuclei up to at least $A = 247$ . The decay products of <sup>244</sup> Pu and <sup>247</sup> Cm are found in meteorites (88, 89). <sup>244</sup> Pu has also been detected directly in seafloor sediments (90, 91). Transuranic nuclei have not been observed in stars, and their halflives are short ( $\leq 80$ Myr) relative to the ages of stars where they might
<ul> <li>220</li> <li>221</li> <li>222</li> <li>223</li> <li>224</li> <li>225</li> <li>226</li> </ul>	Other evidence for cosmic production of transuranic nuclei Studies have found evidence for the cosmic production of the transuranic nuclei up to at least $A = 247$ . The decay products of <sup>244</sup> Pu and <sup>247</sup> Cm are found in meteorites (88, 89). <sup>244</sup> Pu has also been detected directly in seafloor sediments (90, 91). Transuranic nuclei have not been observed in stars, and their halflives are short ( $\leq$ 80 Myr) relative to the ages of stars where they might otherwise be observable ( $\gg$ 1 Gyr).
<ul> <li>220</li> <li>221</li> <li>222</li> <li>223</li> <li>224</li> <li>225</li> <li>226</li> <li>227</li> </ul>	Other evidence for cosmic production of transuranic nuclei Studies have found evidence for the cosmic production of the transuranic nuclei up to at least $A = 247$ . The decay products of <sup>244</sup> Pu and <sup>247</sup> Cm are found in meteorites (88, 89). <sup>244</sup> Pu has also been detected directly in seafloor sediments (90, 91). Transuranic nuclei have not been observed in stars, and their halflives are short ( $\leq 80$ Myr) relative to the ages of stars where they might otherwise be observable ( $\gg 1$ Gyr).
<ul> <li>220</li> <li>221</li> <li>222</li> <li>223</li> <li>224</li> <li>225</li> <li>226</li> <li>227</li> <li>228</li> </ul>	Other evidence for cosmic production of transuranic nuclei Studies have found evidence for the cosmic production of the transuranic nuclei up to at least $A = 247$ . The decay products of <sup>244</sup> Pu and <sup>247</sup> Cm are found in meteorites (88, 89). <sup>244</sup> Pu has also been detected directly in seafloor sediments (90, 91). Transuranic nuclei have not been observed in stars, and their halflives are short ( $\leq 80$ Myr) relative to the ages of stars where they might otherwise be observable ( $\gg 1$ Gyr).
<ul> <li>220</li> <li>221</li> <li>222</li> <li>223</li> <li>224</li> <li>225</li> <li>226</li> <li>227</li> <li>228</li> <li>229</li> </ul>	Other evidence for cosmic production of transuranic nuclei Studies have found evidence for the cosmic production of the transuranic nuclei up to at least $A = 247$ . The decay products of <sup>244</sup> Pu and <sup>247</sup> Cm are found in meteorites (88, 89). <sup>244</sup> Pu has also been detected directly in seafloor sediments (90, 91). Transuranic nuclei have not been observed in stars, and their halflives are short ( $\leq 80$ Myr) relative to the ages of stars where they might otherwise be observable ( $\gg 1$ Gyr). <u>Alternative explanations</u>
<ul> <li>220</li> <li>221</li> <li>222</li> <li>223</li> <li>224</li> <li>225</li> <li>226</li> <li>227</li> <li>228</li> <li>229</li> <li>230</li> </ul>	Other evidence for cosmic production of transuranic nuclei Studies have found evidence for the cosmic production of the transuranic nuclei up to at least $A =$ 247. The decay products of <sup>244</sup> Pu and <sup>247</sup> Cm are found in meteorites (88, 89). <sup>244</sup> Pu has also been detected directly in seafloor sediments (90, 91). Transuranic nuclei have not been observed in stars, and their halflives are short ( $\leq$ 80 Myr) relative to the ages of stars where they might otherwise be observable ( $\gg$ 1 Gyr). Alternative explanations

231 The correlations between the log  $\varepsilon(Ru/Zr)$ , log  $\varepsilon(Rh/Zr)$ , log  $\varepsilon(Pd/Zr)$ , and log  $\varepsilon(Ag/Zr)$  ratios 232 and [Eu/Fe] indicates that the source of the elevated Ru, Rh, Pd, and Ag abundances is related to 233 the source of the heavy elements, including Eu. In principle, that source could be a different 234 nucleosynthesis process operating in a core-collapse supernova, such as the weak r-process, 235 weak s-process, or the intermediate neutron-capture process (i-process). We can exclude any 236 process that operates only in low- or intermediate-mass stars that pass through the asymptotic 237 giant branch (AGB) phase of evolution, such as the main s-process (92). Therefore, we compare 238 the observed abundance behavior with predictions for the weak r-process, the weak s-process, 239 and the i-process.

240

The weak r-process is associated with core-collapse supernovae, where the matter is only slightly neutron rich. The weak r-process is generally not predicted to produce substantial amounts of elements as heavy as Eu (93–95). Therefore, the weak r-process is not a viable explanation for the observed abundance behavior.

245

246 The weak s-process occurs in massive, rapidly rotating stars. Models of the weak s-process in 247 low-metallicity environments do not predict enhancement among any of the log  $\varepsilon$ (Ru/Eu), log 248  $\varepsilon$ (Rh/Eu), log  $\varepsilon$ (Pd/Eu), or log  $\varepsilon$ (Ag/Eu) ratios without a similar increase in the log  $\varepsilon$ (Ba/Eu) and 249  $\log \epsilon$  (Pb/Eu) ratios. These models predict enhancement of the  $\log \epsilon$  (Ba/Eu) and  $\log \epsilon$  (Pb/Eu) 250 ratios by several orders of magnitude (96–98). These values exceed the observed ratios, log 251  $\epsilon$ (Ba/Eu) = 0.99 ( $\sigma$  = 0.07 dex) in the nine stars with [Eu/Fe] > +1.0 in our sample, and log 252  $\epsilon$ (Pb/Eu)  $\approx 0.8$  ( $\sigma \approx 0.2$  dex) in other r-process-enhanced stars (79). The weak s-process is also 253 not a viable explanation for the observed abundance behavior.

255	The i-process has been associated with several sites, including super-AGB stars, post-AGB stars,
256	He-core and He-shell flashes in low-mass stars, and rapidly accreting white dwarfs. Models of
257	the i-process can produce both Pd and Eu, as well as other heavy elements (99–101). In order to
258	produce log $\epsilon$ (Pd/Eu) ratios similar to those observed in the nine stars with [Eu/Fe] > +1.0, log
259	$\epsilon$ (Pd/Eu) = 0.71 ( $\sigma$ = 0.12 dex), these models predict log $\epsilon$ (Ba/Eu) ratios $\gtrsim$ 2, which is much
260	higher than observed in these nine stars, $\log \epsilon(Ba/Eu) = 0.99$ ( $\sigma = 0.07$ dex). Furthermore, the i-
261	process would need to dominate the production of Eu in the stars with the highest levels of
262	[Eu/Fe], which is unlikely given that the abundance ratios among Eu, the lanthanide elements,
263	and all heavier elements are consistent with the Solar System r-process ratios and models for r-
264	process nucleosynthesis (102). Therefore, the i-process is not a viable explanation for the
265	observed abundance behavior.
266	
267	We conclude that no known nucleosynthesis process, except for the deposition of transuranic
268	fission fragments in the r-process, can explain the observed abundance behaviors.
269	
270	
271	Using [Ba/Eu] ratios as a metric to assess the relative contributions from the r- and s-process
272	
273	A high percentage (>94% or so) of the Eu in the Solar System originated via r-process
274	nucleosynthesis. In contrast, a high percentage of the Ba in the Solar System (> 85% or so)
275	originated via s-process nucleosynthesis. This situation naturally invites the use of the [Ba/Eu]
276	ratio (or, analogously, the log $\epsilon$ (Ba/Eu) ratio) as a metric to assess the relative contributions of

277 the r- and s-process to different stars. The exact values that define "pure" r- and s-process 278 [Ba/Eu] ratios depend somewhat on the adopted model and method, but they typically differ by > 279 2 dex (103–108). For example, (108) estimates that the [Ba/Eu] ratios for "pure" r-process and 280 "pure" s-process material are  $\approx -0.9$  and  $\approx +1.3$ , respectively.

281

Our findings imply that the [Ba/Eu] ratio exhibits a small amount of cosmic dispersion due to the r-process itself. The eight stars in our sample with [Eu/Fe] < 0.0 exhibit [Ba/Eu] =  $-0.53 \pm 0.05$ (log  $\epsilon$ (Ba/Eu) = 1.13  $\pm$  0.05). The nine stars with [Eu/Fe] > +1.0 exhibit [Ba/Eu] =  $-0.67 \pm 0.02$ (log  $\epsilon$ (Ba/Eu) = 0.99  $\pm$  0.02). These differences are small but significant at about the 2.5 $\sigma$  level.

286

287 Fortunately, the [Ba/Eu] ratio remains an acceptable general diagnostic of the r- and s-process 288 contributions. The differences between the low- and high-[Eu/Fe] samples are much smaller than 289 the > 2 dex differences in the "pure" r- and s-process abundance ratios. Caution is warranted, 290 however, when attempting to interpret slight (< 0.2 dex) enhancements in the [Ba/Eu] ratio as 291 necessarily implying the presence of small amounts of s-process contamination to an otherwise 292 dominant r-process pattern. Similar cautions apply to other element ratios, such as [La/Eu] (109) 293 or [Ce/Eu], where small but measurable enhancements could also signal deficiencies in Eu that 294 result from less fission fragment deposition.

295

296

297 <u>A metric to assess the strength of the r-process</u>

299	Reference (16) compared the predictions of their fission yields with a limited set of observational
300	data, 13 r-process-enhanced stars drawn from JINABase (33). That study examined the
301	relationships between the [Ru/Eu], [Pd/Eu], and [Ag/Eu] ratios and the log $\epsilon$ (Eu) ratio, which
302	they adopted as a measure of the level of r-process enrichment in a given star. That study noted
303	no correlations between the [Ru/Eu], [Pd/Eu], or [Ag/Eu] ratios and log $\epsilon$ (Eu), which was
304	consistent with the behavior expected if the Ru, Pd, and Ag originate in part as fission fragments.
305	We repeat a similar exercise for the stars in our sample, and we find significant correlations
306	between log $\varepsilon$ (Eu) and each of log $\varepsilon$ (Ru/Zr) ( $p = 0.03$ , 29 stars) and log $\varepsilon$ (Ag/Zr) ( $p = 0.02$ , 21
307	stars). These correlations, while significant, are weaker than the correlations with [Eu/Fe].
308	
309	We find that [Eu/Fe], rather than log $\varepsilon$ (Eu) (or [Eu/H]), represents a more predictive metric of
310	the strength of the r-process. It is unclear at present why this is the case. The log $\epsilon(Eu)$ ratios
311	represent the amount of Eu and r-process elements present in the gas from which these stars
312	formed. In contrast, the [Eu/Fe] ratios represent the amount of Eu and r-process elements present
313	relative to the Fe abundance of the gas, which suggests a connection to the environment where
314	the r-process occurred (110–112). Future theoretical attempts to explore the nature and cause of
315	this behavior will be an important step to establish the relationship between the production of
316	transuranic elements and the site(s) of the r-process.
317	

319 <u>No relation to the actinide boost phenomenon</u>

321 The actinide boost phenomenon is not correlated with the production of transuranic fission 322 fragments in our data, as shown in Fig. S3, panel A. We adopt log  $\varepsilon$ (Th/Eu) as a measure of the range of actinide production relative to the lanthanide elements. We adopt log  $\epsilon$ (Pd/Zr) as a 323 324 measure of the fission fragment yields relative to the lighter r-process elements. For the 15 stars 325 in the sample with reported Pd and Th abundances, the *p*-value for the Pearson correlation 326 coefficient between the log  $\varepsilon$ (Th/Eu) and log  $\varepsilon$ (Pd/Zr) ratios is 0.90, indicating a non-significant 327 correlation. Furthermore, the variation in the observed actinide abundances relative to the 328 lanthanide abundances is much smaller than the variation observed among the fission fragments. 329 The ranges of the log  $\varepsilon$ (Th/Eu) and log  $\varepsilon$ (Pd/Zr) ratios are 0.45 dex and 1.13 dex, respectively, 330 which correspond to factors of 2.8 and 13.5. The fission fragment yields vary by  $\approx 5$  times more 331 than the actinide variations.

332

As shown in Fig. S3, panel B, the relationship between the fission fragments and the actinides is also not correlated with the strength of the r-process. We adopt  $\log \varepsilon(Pd/Th)$  as a measure of the amount of fission fragments relative to the actinides. We adopt [Eu/Fe] as a measure of the strength of the r-process. The  $\log \varepsilon(Pd/Th)$  ratio is not correlated with the [Eu/Fe] ratio. The *p*value for the Pearson correlation correlation coefficient between the  $\log \varepsilon(Pd/Th)$  and [Eu/Fe] ratios is 0.37 for the 15 stars in our sample, indicating a non-significant correlation.

339

We conclude that there is no correlation between the fission fragment yields and the actinideyields in these stars.

342

344 Limitations

346	The baseline abundance pattern in our analysis (Fig. 1, Tables S5 and S6) is calculated
347	empirically, rather than self-consistently with the fission component. Our analysis preserves the
348	observed abundance ratios among Se, Sr, Y, Zr, Nb, Mo, Rh, and Pd, as shown in Fig. 1. It
349	underpredicts the abundances for Ru and overpredicts the abundances of Ag, Cd, Sn, and Te,
350	revealing that there is room for improvement in the models. For example, there could be a more
351	narrow range of nuclei undergoing fission during the r-process, which could therefore narrow the
352	range of daughter products produced. Future model explorations should aim to reproduce the full
353	range of observed abundance behavior self-consistently, and our results signal that astronomical
354	observations can be used to confront those models with observations.
355	
356	Our conclusion that some elements originate in part via transuranic fission fragment deposition
357	in the r-process may not be applicable to populations that are more metal-rich or contaminated
358	by material from other processes, such as the s-process. A comparison with one particular
359	previous study demonstrates this limitation. Ref. (57) examined the abundances of Sr, Y, Zr, Pd,
360	Ag, Ba, and Eu in 71 metal-poor stars to trace the contributions from different nucleosynthesis
361	processes to each of these elements. Taken at face value, that study reached the opposite
362	conclusion from ours, in that it found that Pd and Ag correlated more strongly with Zr than with
363	Eu. A closer examination, however, reveals that the two studies are probing different populations
364	of stars. First, the stars in our sample are much more metal-poor than those in $(57)$ ; the median
365	[Fe/H] in our sample is $-2.62$ , while for the sample in (57) it is $-1.84$ . Secondly, the stars in our
366	sample are more enhanced in r-process elements than the stars in (57); the median [Eu/Fe] in our

sample is  $\pm 0.52$ , while for the sample in (57) it is  $\pm 0.43$ . Thirdly, the stars in our sample are less contaminated by s-process material than the stars in (57). The median [Ba/Eu] in our sample is -0.55, while for the sample in (57) it is -0.21. The sample examined by (57) was assembled to include stars that would reflect a combination of nucleosynthesis processes, such as chargedparticle nucleosynthesis, the weak r-process, the s-process, and the r-process. In contrast, our sample is assembled to include stars where the r-process is the dominant source of the heavy elements.

374

Observations of r-process elements in stars are limited to elemental abundances. Individual isotopic abundances are generally inaccessible, with only a few exceptions *(113, 114)*. Our finding that Eu and Ba are slightly decoupled when transuranic fission fragment deposition occurs suggests that measurements of the Ba isotopes in very metal-poor stars *(115–118)* may need to be reinterpreted.

380

381 Not all elements can be detected in all stars. For example, there are very few observations of Cd, 382 Sn, Te, Hf, Os, and Pt, which limits our ability to draw reliable conclusions about their origin. 383 This limitation is a consequence of several factors, most notably the conditions found in stellar 384 atmospheres and the energy spacing of the electronic configurations. These factors often restrict 385 detection of these elements to wavelengths in the ultraviolet region of the spectrum observable 386 only from space (46). Surveys to identify and characterize many more r-process-enhanced stars 387 by the R-Process Alliance (119-122) and others (123), both today and with the next generation 388 of optical and ultraviolet spectrographs (124–127), will be essential to realize the full potential of 389 these observational constraints.



390 Fig. S1: Abundance ratios among the lighter elements for the 42 stars in the sample. Each 391 point represents one star, and the errorbars represent  $1\sigma$  uncertainties. Each panel illustrates the 392 abundance ratios for a different element X (where X = Se, Sr, ..., Te) arranged by increasing 393 atomic number, Z. Lines mark weighted least-squares linear fits. Non-significant trends (black 394 lines) indicate that an element is coproduced with Zr, and significant positive correlations 395 (orange lines) indicate that an element is coproduced with Eu. The three values printed in the 396 lower right corner of each panel list the *p*-value for the Pearson correlation coefficient, the  $r^2$ 397 coefficient of determination, and the number of stars, *n*.





Ratios among the lanthanides (La, Ce, Pr, Nd, Sm, Eu, Gd, Tb, Dy, Ho, Er, Tm, Yb), and
elements near and at the third r-process peak (Hf, Os, Pt) are shown. Each point represents one
star, and the errorbars represent 1σ uncertainties. The panels are arranged by increasing atomic

403 number, Z. Lines mark weighted least-squares linear fits. Non-significant trends are shown with

- 404 black lines, and significant trends are shown with orange lines. The three values printed in the
- 405 lower right corner of each panel list the *p*-value for the Pearson correlation coefficient, the  $r^2$
- 406 coefficient of determination, and the number of stars, *n*.



407 Figure S3: The relationships between the fission fragment yields (Pd) and the actinides 408 (Th). In panel A, the log  $\varepsilon$ (Pd/Zr) ratio represents the relationship between the fission fragment 409 yields and lighter r-process elements, and the  $\log \epsilon$  (Th/Eu) ratios represent the relationship 410 between the actinides and the lanthanides. In panel **B**, the log  $\varepsilon$ (Pd/Th) ratios represent the 411 relationship between the fission fragment yields and the actinides, and the [Eu/Fe] ratios 412 represent the strength of the r-process. All axes span 2.4 dex. The three values printed in the 413 lower right corner of each panel list the *p*-value for the Pearson correlation coefficient, the  $r^2$ 414 coefficient of determination, and the number of stars, n. Neither correlation is significant. 415

Star	[Fe/H]	[Eu/Fe]	log ε	$\log \varepsilon$	log ε	log ε	log ε	Reference								
CS 31082 001	2 90	+1.60	(30)	0.68	(1)	0.51	0.55	0.11	0.32	0.42	0.00	(Ag)	(Cu)	(51)	(10)	(35 38)
CS 20407 004	-2.90	+1.09		0.08	0.23	0.51	0.28	0.15	0.52	0.18	0.09	-0.00				(30)
CS 22427-004	-2.04	+1.67		0.72	-0.07	0.05	-0.28	-0.40	0.08	-0.10	_0.20	-0.40				(37, 40-42)
11432 = 4125	-2.07	+1.04		0.18	-0.47	0.13	-0.70	-0.40	-0.02	-0.55	-0.12	-0.90				(37, 40-42) (43)
HE 1219-0312	-2.97	+1.38		0.10	-0.52	0.15		-0.25	-0.02		-0.12					(43)
HD 222925	-1.46	+1.30	2 62	1.98	1 04	1 74	0.71	1 36	1 32	0.64	1.05	0 44	0.34	1 30	1.63	(45, 46)
11538-1804	-1.40	+1.52	2.02	1.20	0.27	0.89	0.71	1.50	0.57	_0.04	0.28	-0.25	0.54	1.57	1.05	(47)
CS 31078-018	-2.07	+1.27 +1.23		0.31	-0.52	0.32			-0.35	-0.01	-0.36	-0.23				(47)
CS 22953-003	-2.84	+1.03		0.30	-0.46	0.12		-0.50	-0.25		-0.70					(49 50)
HF 2327-5642	-2.79	+0.98		-0.01	-0.72	0.04		-0.49	0.20		-0.71					(1), 50)
CS 22896-154	-2.69	+0.96		0.01	-0.30	0.49		-0.30	-0.05		-0.50	-1 10				(31) (49 50)
BD +17°3248	-2.09	+0.90		1.09	0.00	0.83	-0.22	0.50	0.03	-0 57	-0.05	-0.71	-0 99		0.42	(37 52 - 55)
HD 221170	-2.10	+0.90		0.81	-0.16	0.73	-0.80	0.03	0.22	-0.35	-0.03	-0.52	0.77		0.12	(37, 41, 56)
$CD - 45^{\circ}3283$	-0.99	+0.78		1 73	1 19	1.81	0.00	0.05	0.22	0.00	1 10	0.33				(57)
HD 20	-1.60	+0.73		1.47	0.42	1.26		0.48	0.55	-0.19	-0.12	-0.34				(58)
HD 120559	-1.31	+0.71		1.78	1.09	1.69		0110	0.00	0.17	0.70	0.11				(57)
HD 3567	-1.33	+0.70		1.44	0.64	1.60					0.53	0.14				(57)
BS 17569-049	-2.88	+0.70		0.35	-0.60	-0.01		-0.50	-0.40		-0.60	-1.70				(49, 50)
J1830-4555	-3.57	+0.69		-1.26	-1.64	-1.09		0.00	-1.20		-1.43	11/0				(59)
HD 115444	-2.85	+0.66		0.05	-0.86	-0.05					-1.06					(60)
HD 186478	-2.60	+0.52		0.49	-0.52	0.37					-0.67	-1.34				(61, 62)
HD 6268	-2.63	+0.52		0.32	-0.62	0.11					-0.98					(60)
HD 108317	-2.37	+0.48	1.44	0.48	-0.42	0.45	-0.86	-0.05	-0.04	-0.84	-0.67	-1.34	-1.15		0.06	(55, 63-65)
HD 160617	-1.77	+0.44	1.71	1.22	0.25	1.05		0.61	0.59		-0.07	-0.89	-0.03	0.38	0.67	(66. 67)
BD +08°2548	-2.11	+0.43		0.78	-0.19	0.65					-0.36	-0.80				(61, 62)
HD 84937	-2.25	+0.38	1.23	1.04	-0.03	0.65		0.39	0.47				-0.17	-0.44	0.33	(55, 67)
HD 19445	-2.15	+0.37	1.56	1.00	0.13	0.82		0.48	0.44				-0.37	0.11	0.65	(55, 67)
HD 108577	-2.36	+0.36		0.35	-0.56	0.23					-0.69	-1.31				(61, 62)
HD 107752	-2.85	+0.31		-0.25	-0.86	-0.20		-0.90	-0.96		-1.35					(68)
CS 22966-057	-2.62	+0.29		0.20	-0.64	-0.06		-0.15	0.05		-0.70					(49, 50)
HD 126238	-1.93	+0.22		0.98	-0.10	0.74	-0.36	0.18	0.09	-0.65	-0.32	-1.01				(64)
HD 2796	-2.47	+0.17		0.50	-0.48	0.21		-0.40	-0.35		-0.70					(49, 50)
CS 29518-051	-2.69	+0.15		0.41	-0.51	0.16		-0.50	-0.70		-0.90					(49, 50)
HD 85773	-2.62	+0.02		0.01	-0.86	-0.22		-0.97	-1.01		-1.30					(68)
HD 128279	-2.46	-0.02	0.57	-0.18	-0.89	0.00	-0.88	-0.56	-0.63		-1.24		-1.32		-0.12	(55, 63–65)
HD 110184	-2.52	-0.03		0.46	-0.76	-0.03		-0.70	-0.85		-1.22					(68)
CS 22873-055	-2.99	-0.19		-0.42	-1.28	-0.56		-1.00			-1.30					(49, 50)
BD -18°5550	-3.06	-0.22		-1.15	-1.91	-1.17		-1.50			-1.70					(49, 50)
HD 140283	-2.57	-0.22	0.65	0.07	-0.77	-0.05		-0.44					-1.34	-1.06		(67)
CS 22873-166	-2.97	-0.32		-0.05	-0.86	-0.17		-0.70	-1.20		-1.30					(49, 50)
HD 88609	-3.07	-0.33		-0.19	-1.03	-0.20	-1.70	-1.00	-0.91		-1.35	-2.06				(69)
HD 122563	-2.77	-0.52		-0.10	-0.97	-0.25	-1.46	-0.87	-0.86		-1.36	-1.91				(64, 69, 70)

**Table S1: Stellar abundances for lighter r-process elements, sorted by decreasing [Eu/Fe]** 

**ratios.** 

Star	[Fe/H]	[Eu/Fe]	log ε												
	error	error	(Se)	(Sr)	(Ÿ)	(Žr)	(Nb)	(Mo)	(Ru)	(Rh)	(Pd)	(Ag)	(Čd)	(Sn)	(Te)
			error												
CS 31082-001	0.10	0.05		0.10	0.07	0.08	0.12	0.13	0.12	0.12	0.07	0.21			
CS 29497-004	0.12	0.06		0.11	0.08	0.07	0.07	0.14	0.15	0.16	0.18	0.17			
CS 22892-052	0.13	0.05		0.13	0.10	0.12	0.09	0.20	0.10	0.15	0.10	0.11			
J1432-4125	0.18	0.14		0.34	0.10	0.11		0.20	0.09		0.20				
HE 1219-0312	0.16	0.10		0.18	0.15	0.14					0.23				
HD 222925	0.10	0.08	0.22	0.13	0.07	0.08	0.11	0.07	0.11	0.12	0.08	0.13	0.17	0.20	0.14
J1538-1804	0.10	0.11		0.12	0.14	0.14			0.28	0.28	0.36	0.30			
CS 31078-018	0.10	0.17		0.09	0.15	0.14			0.12		0.12				
CS 22953-003	0.10	0.10		0.20	0.20	0.20		0.20	0.20		0.20				
HE 2327-5642	0.10	0.07		0.12	0.09	0.09		0.32			0.32				
CS 22896-154	0.10	0.10		0.20	0.20	0.20		0.20	0.20		0.20	0.30			
BD +17°3248	0.08	0.10		0.10	0.05	0.14	0.20	0.10	0.10	0.10	0.09	0.15	0.16		0.30
HD 221170	0.12	0.07		0.08	0.07	0.09	0.30	0.10	0.05	0.23	0.05	0.10			
CD -45°3283	0.10	0.17		0.30	0.15	0.17					0.19	0.25			
HD 20	0.04	0.10		0.26	0.09	0.09		0.11	0.11	0.40	0.21	0.21			
HD 120559	0.10	0.17		0.12	0.15	0.17					0.21	0.25			
HD 3567	0.10	0.18		0.20	0.19	0.17					0.20	0.25			
BS 17569-049	0.10	0.10		0.20	0.20	0.20		0.20	0.20		0.20	0.30			
J1830-4555	0.10	0.20		0.20	0.20	0.25			0.25		0.25				
HD 115444	0.15	0.10		0.11	0.08	0.17					0.11				
HD 186478	0.16	0.08		0.30	0.12	0.06					0.25	0.25			
HD 6268	0.11	0.10		0.33	0.06	0.20					0.17				
HD 108317	0.22	0.18	0.42	0.19	0.20	0.20	0.19	0.28	0.16	0.27	0.18	0.21	0.46		0.30
HD 160617	0.29	0.29	0.21	0.30	0.30	0.30		0.14	0.10		0.22	0.28	0.23	0.10	0.32
BD +08°2548	0.19	0.06		0.30	0.15	0.09					0.25	0.25			
HD 84937	0.10	0.10	0.10	0.10	0.10	0.10		0.10	0.10				0.10	0.18	0.11
HD 19445	0.10	0.10	0.23	0.10	0.10	0.10		0.10	0.16				0.15	0.10	0.15
HD 108577	0.13	0.12		0.30	0.13	0.10					0.25	0.25			
HD 107752	0.10	0.16		0.28	0.16	0.16		0.17	0.21		0.18				
CS 22966-057	0.10	0.10		0.20	0.20	0.20		0.20	0.20		0.20				
HD 126238	0.19	0.20		0.24	0.19	0.24	0.20	0.23	0.17	0.38	0.17	0.38			
HD 2796	0.10	0.10		0.20	0.20	0.20		0.20	0.20		0.20				
CS 29518-051	0.10	0.10		0.20	0.20	0.20		0.20	0.20		0.20				
HD 85773	0.10	0.20		0.20	0.28	0.19		0.26	0.28		0.22				
HD 128279	0.22	0.18	0.36	0.19	0.21	0.20	0.27	0.28	0.19		0.27		0.40		0.30
HD 110184	0.10	0.16		0.28	0.18	0.21		0.17	0.21		0.18				
CS 22873-055	0.10	0.10		0.20	0.20	0.20		0.20			0.20				
BD -18°5550	0.10	0.10		0.20	0.20	0.20		0.20			0.20				
HD 140283	0.10	0.10	0.10	0.10	0.10	0.10		0.10					0.10	0.10	
CS 22873-166	0.10	0.10		0.20	0.20	0.20		0.20	0.20		0.20				
HD 88609	0.20	0.12		0.12	0.10	0.16	0.12	0.12	0.12		0.12	0.12			
HD 122563	0.19	0.14		0.14	0.09	0.16	0.14	0.14	0.14		0.14	0.14			

# 419 Table S2: Uncertainties in the abundances and abundance ratios presented in Table S1. All

420 values are expressed in dex. In cases where the original study did not present an uncertainty, or

421 where only the statistical error was presented, we adopt a minimum total (statistical plus

422 systematic) uncertainty of 0.10 dex. References are given in Table S1.

Star	[Fe/H]	[Eu/Fe]	log ε																
	. ,	. ,	(Ba)	(La)	(Če)	(Pr)	(Nd)	(Sm)	(Gd)	(Tb)	(Dy)	(Ho)	(Er)	(Tm)	(Yb)	(Hf)	(Os)	(Pt)	(Th)
CS 31082-001	-2.90	+1.69	0.40	-0.62	-0.29	-0.79	-0.15	-0.42	-0.21	-1.01	-0.07	-0.80	-0.30	-1.15	-0.41	-0.72	0.18	0.30	-0.98
CS 29497-004	-2.84	+1.67	0.35	-0.38	-0.19	-0.67	-0.02	-0.30	-0.31	-1.08	-0.08	-0.76	-0.29	-1.18	-0.22	-0.64	0.41	0.50	-1.16
CS 22892-052	-3.09	+1.64	-0.01	-0.84	-0.46	-0.96	-0.37	-0.61	-0.42	-1.13	-0.26	-0.92	-0.48	-1.39	-0.55	-0.88	0.04	0.20	-1.57
J1432-4125	-2.97	+1.44	0.03	-0.86	-0.53	-0.98	-0.34	-0.67	-0.51	-1.22	-0.39	-1.18	-0.66	-1.45	-0.79	-0.84	0.00		-1.47
HE 1219-0312	-2.97	+1.38	-0.14	-0.86	-0.52	-1.17	-0.41	-0.59	-0.41		-0.34	-1.13	-0.56	-1.51		-0.97			-1.29
HD 222925	-1.46	+1.32	1.26	0.51	0.85	0.22	0.88	0.62	0.82	0.18	1.01	0.12	0.73	-0.09	0.55	0.32	1.17	1.45	-0.06
J1538-1804	-2.09	+1.27	0.69	-0.24	0.08	-0.47	0.17	-0.12	0.06	-0.71	0.31	-0.54	-0.13	-0.83	0.25	-0.36	0.42		-0.97
CS 31078-018	-2.84	+1.23	-0.06	-1.00	-0.67		-0.62	-0.77	-0.76		-0.77	-1.11	-0.96		-1.07				-1.35
CS 22953-003	-2.84	+1.03	-0.22	-1.01	-0.60	-1.45	-0.62	-1.49	-0.71		-0.66	-1.40	-0.85		-0.74				
HE 2327-5642	-2.79	+0.98	-0.30	-1.10	-0.63	-1.15	-0.61	-0.92	-0.72	-1.51	-0.60	-1.31	-0.80	-1.65	-1.03	-1.32	-0.17		-1.67
CS 22896-154	-2.69	+0.96	-0.05	-1.10	-0.40	-1.25	-0.52	-0.90	-0.70		-0.58	-1.55	-0.75						
BD +17°3248	-2.10	+0.90	0.48	-0.42	-0.11	-0.71	-0.09	-0.34	-0.14	-0.91	-0.04	-0.70	-0.25	-1.12	-0.27	-0.57	-0.11	0.50	-1.18
HD 221170	-2.20	+0.80	0.18	-0.73	-0.42	-1.00	-0.35	-0.66	-0.46	-1.21	-0.29	-0.97	-0.47	-1.39	-0.48	-0.84	0.16	0.48	-1.46
CD -45°3283	-0.99	+0.78	1.51																
HD 20	-1.60	+0.73	0.93	-0.09	0.19	-0.35	0.21	-0.06	0.04	-0.74	0.21	-0.49	-0.04	-0.87	-0.06	-0.23	0.40		-0.85
HD 120559	-1.31	+0.71	1.09																
HD 3567	-1.33	+0.70	1.11																
BS 17569-049	-2.88	+0.70	-0.55	-1.33	-1.07	-1.42	-0.95	-0.98	-1.14		-1.15	-1.90	-1.40		-1.20				
J1830-4555	-3.57	+0.69	-1.04	-2.13	-1.57	-2.36	-1.91	-2.16	-2.00		-1.95	-2.60	-2.15	-2.85	-2.46				
HD 115444	-2.85	+0.66	-0.49	-1.53	-1.10	-1.49	-1.06	-1.24	-1.10	-2.29	-1.07		-1.26	-2.18	-1.46				-1.97
HD 186478	-2.60	+0.52	-0.55	-1.38	-1.15		-0.96	-1.30	-1.06		-1.12		-1.15		-1.33				
HD 6268	-2.63	+0.52	-0.45	-1.32	-0.88	-1.54	-0.89	-1.27	-1.01	-2.15	-1.00		-1.20	-2.22	-1.33				-1.93
HD 108317	-2.37	+0.48	-0.32	-1.12	-0.68	-0.90	-0.71	-1.05	-0.82	-1.30	-0.75	-1.50	-0.94	-1.80	-1.13		-0.68	-0.46	-1.99
HD 160617	-1.77	+0.44	0.44	-0.36	0.06		-0.03	-0.45	-0.30		-0.20		-0.35		-0.42				
BD +08°2548	-2.11	+0.43	-0.07	-0.96	-0.65	-1.61	-0.45	-0.90	-0.85	-1.84	-0.46		-0.81	-1.71	-0.82				
HD 84937	-2.25	+0.38	-0.22																
HD 19445	-2.15	+0.37	0.00																
HD 108577	-2.36	+0.36	-0.35	-1.24	-1.05		-0.82	-1.15	-1.11		-0.99		-1.09	-1.98	-1.10				
HD 107752	-2.85	+0.31	-1.09	-1.83	-1.26		-1.23												
CS 22966-057	-2.62	+0.29	-0.73	-1.20			-0.65				-1.00		-1.05						
HD 126238	-1.93	+0.22	-0.03	-0.90	-0.49	-1.08	-0.52	-0.93	-0.68	-1.61	-0.60	-1.37	-0.78	-1.60	-0.93	-0.99	-0.38	-0.34	
HD 2796	-2.47	+0.17	-0.48	-1.40	-0.83	-1.50	-1.10	-1.40	-1.40		-1.32	-2.20	-1.43		-1.52				
CS 29518-051	-2.69	+0.15	-1.01	-2.01		-1.56	-1.18												
HD 85773	-2.62	+0.02	-0.77	-1.75	-1.40		-1.27												
HD 128279	-2.46	-0.02	-1.03	-1.64	-1.15		-1.23	-1.50			-1.35	-1.71	-1.54	-2.24	-1.69				
HD 110184	-2.52	-0.03	-1.06	-1.73	-1.26		-1.30												
CS 22873-055	-2.99	-0.19	-1.31	-2.29		-2.55	-1.78		-1.90		-2.09		-2.30						
BD -18°5550	-3.06	-0.22	-1.67				-2.02		-2.20			-3.00	-2.25						
HD 140283	-2.57	-0.22	-1.09																
CS 22873-166	-2.97	-0.32	-1.54	-2.57	-1.73	-2.30	-1.72						-2.40						
HD 88609	-3.07	-0.33	-1.71	-2.75	-2.02	-2.20	-2.11	-2.41	-2.83		-2.85		-2.79		-2.94				
HD 122563	-2.77	-0.52	-1.65	-2.60	-1.91	-2.15	-2.01	-2.16	-2.44		-2.62		-2.66		-2.78				

# 424 Table S3: Stellar abundances for the heavier r-process elements, sorted by decreasing

425 [Eu/Fe] ratios. References are given in Table S1.

Star	log ε	logε	log ε	log ε	log ε	log ε	log ε	log ε	log ε	log ε	log ε	log ε					
	(Ba)	(La)	(Ce)	(Pr)	(Nd)	(Sm)	(Ga)	(10)	(Dy)	(H0)	(Er)	(1m)	(YD)	(HI)	(Us)	(Pt)	(1n)
CS 21082 001	0.14	0.05	0.05	0.05	0.05	0.05	0.05	0.05	0.05	0.06	0.05	0.05	0.11	0.05	0.07	0.22	0.12
CS 20407 004	0.14	0.05	0.05	0.05	0.03	0.05	0.03	0.05	0.03	0.00	0.05	0.05	0.11	0.05	0.07	0.23	0.15
CS 23497-004	0.12	0.08	0.00	0.00	0.07	0.00	0.07	0.00	0.07	0.17	0.11	0.08	0.20	0.07	0.12	0.21	0.08
11422 4125	0.12	0.05	0.05	0.07	0.00	0.07	0.07	0.04	0.00	0.02	0.04	0.04	0.10	0.04	0.15	0.15	0.10
J1452-4125	0.13	0.05	0.08	0.00	0.08	0.05	0.09	0.07	0.00	0.07	0.09	0.14	0.10	0.20	0.10		0.10
HE 1219-0312	0.15	0.12	0.21	0.14	0.12	0.13	0.13	0.00	0.13	0.17	0.14	0.10	0.10	0.22	0.00	0.10	0.14
HD 222923	0.00	0.07	0.07	0.08	0.08	0.08	0.08	0.09	0.08	0.12	0.08	0.08	0.19	0.10	0.09	0.10	0.11
J1558-1804	0.08	0.12	0.13	0.13	0.12	0.12	0.12	0.12	0.07	0.07	0.07	0.08	0.11	0.13	0.28		0.14
CS 310/8-018	0.31	0.22	0.21	0.20	0.21	0.10	0.17		0.15	0.22	0.20		0.21				0.25
CS 22953-003	0.20	0.20	0.20	0.20	0.20	0.20	0.20	0.02	0.20	0.20	0.20	0.00	0.20	0.10	0.10		0.10
HE 232/-3642	0.03	0.02	0.06	0.06	0.08	0.09	0.07	0.03	0.06	0.10	0.09	0.08	0.10	0.10	0.10		0.10
CS 22896-154	0.20	0.20	0.20	0.20	0.20	0.20	0.20	0.05	0.20	0.20	0.20	0.05	0.01	0.00	0.16	0.15	0.10
BD +1 /°3248	0.11	0.05	0.05	0.06	0.06	0.05	0.04	0.05	0.05	0.05	0.04	0.05	0.01	0.08	0.16	0.15	0.10
HD 221170	0.11	0.06	0.04	0.07	0.08	0.07	0.14	0.08	0.06	0.07	0.08	0.06	0.10	0.11	0.10	0.15	0.05
CD -45°3283	0.15	0.07	0.02	0.10	0.06	0.04	0.15	0.10	0.07	0.10	0.00	0.10	0.00	0.10	0.10		0.10
HD 20	0.10	0.06	0.03	0.10	0.06	0.04	0.15	0.10	0.07	0.10	0.09	0.10	0.20	0.10	0.10		0.10
HD 120559	0.17																
HD 3567	0.14	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00		0.00	0.00	0.00		0.00				
BS 1/569-049	0.20	0.20	0.20	0.20	0.20	0.20	0.20		0.20	0.20	0.20	0.05	0.20				
J1830-4555	0.15	0.20	0.20	0.30	0.20	0.30	0.25	0.11	0.20	0.20	0.20	0.25	0.25				0.15
HD 115444	0.11	0.05	0.10	0.11	0.06	0.19	0.21	0.11	0.09		0.09	0.11	0.11				0.15
HD 1864/8	0.22	0.09	0.11	0.17	0.11	0.12	0.15	0.17	0.10		0.06	0.07	0.27				0.10
HD 6268	0.17	0.05	0.11	0.17	0.08	0.21	0.17	0.1/	0.14	0.00	0.13	0.07	0.17		0.04	0.10	0.10
HD 108317	0.19	0.17	0.17	0.23	0.18	0.20	0.19	0.29	0.18	0.20	0.18	0.19	0.22		0.36	0.19	0.21
HD 160617	0.30	0.30	0.30		0.30	0.33	0.30	o 4 <b>-</b>	0.29		0.30	0.07	0.33				
BD +08°2548	0.24	0.09	0.11	0.13	0.12	0.11	0.22	0.17	0.12		0.09	0.06	0.30				
HD 84937	0.10																
HD 19445	0.10						o 1 =										
HD 108577	0.21	0.13	0.14		0.14	0.14	0.17		0.11		0.11	0.19	0.28				
HD 107752	0.18	0.16	0.16		0.16												
CS 22966-057	0.20	0.20	0.10	0.01	0.20	0.10	0.10	0.00	0.20	0.40	0.20	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.41	0.40	
HD 126238	0.21	0.18	0.18	0.21	0.19	0.19	0.19	0.26	0.18	0.40	0.26	0.20	0.26	0.28	0.41	0.42	
HD 2796	0.20	0.20	0.20	0.20	0.20	0.20	0.20		0.20	0.20	0.20		0.20				
CS 29518-051	0.20	0.20		0.20	0.20												
HD 85773	0.18	0.18	0.19		0.19						0.40						
HD 128279	0.18	0.19	0.18		0.18	0.24			0.20	0.29	0.19	0.21	0.21				
HD 110184	0.18	0.16	0.16		0.16												
CS 22873-055	0.20	0.20		0.20	0.20		0.20		0.20		0.20						
BD -18°5550	0.20				0.20		0.20			0.20	0.20						
HD 140283	0.10																
CS 22873-166	0.20	0.20	0.20	0.20	0.20						0.20						
HD 88609	0.10	0.15	0.18	0.12	0.12	0.12	0.12		0.20		0.20		0.12				
HD 122563	0.12	0.16	0.17	0.14	0.16	0.14	0.14		0.14		0.14		0.14				

 Table S4: Uncertainties in the abundances and abundance ratios presented in Table S3. All

427 values are expressed in dex. In cases where the original study did not present an uncertainty, or

428 where only the statistical error was presented, we adopt a minimum total (statistical plus

429 systematic) uncertainty of 0.10 dex. References are given in Table S1.
Element	$\log \epsilon (X/Zr)_{\text{\tiny base}}$	standard	п
Х		error	
Se	0.64	0.10	2
Sr	0.16	0.04	13
Y	-0.73	0.02	13
Zr	0.00	0.05	13
Nb	-1.17	0.11	4
Мо	-0.54	0.05	13
Ru	-0.66	0.09	10
Rh	-1.39	0.10	1
Pd	-0.99	0.06	12
Ag	-1.76	0.05	3
Cď	-1.31	0.10	2
Sn	-1.01	0.10	1
Te	-0.12	0.10	1

430 Table S5: The empirical baseline abundance pattern for lighter elements calculated from

432 dex when the number of stars, *n*, used to compute the mean is  $\leq 2$ .

<sup>431</sup> the abundances of the 13 stars with  $[Eu/Fe] \le +0.3$ . We adopt a minimum uncertainty of 0.10

Element	log ε (X/Ba) <sub>base</sub>	standard	n
Х		error	
Ba	0.00	0.05	13
La	-0.87	0.06	11
Ce	-0.32	0.05	8
Pr	-0.80	0.11	7
Nd	-0.33	0.05	12
Sm	-0.70	0.08	5
Eu	-1.15	0.03	13
Gd	-0.77	0.08	6
Tb	-1.58	0.10	1
Dy	-0.70	0.11	7
Ho	-1.27	0.19	4
Er	-0.78	0.08	9
Tm	-1.39	0.13	2
Yb	-0.99	0.09	5
Hf	-0.96	0.10	1
Os	-0.35	0.10	1
Pt	-0.31	0.10	1

433 Table S6: The empirical baseline abundance pattern for heavier elements calculated from

434 the abundances of the 13 stars with  $[Eu/Fe] \le +0.3$ . We adopt a minimum uncertainty of 0.10

435 dex when the number of stars, *n*, used to compute the mean is  $\leq 2$ .